DegreeLive

BBA | Semester 6 | UK6DSCMGT301

Research Methodology

Course Code: UK6DSCMGT301 • Module 3 Notes

degreelive.in

Module 3: Data Collection and Sampling Techniques

Primary vs Secondary Data, Tools, Measurement Scaling, and Sampling Designs

Chapter 1: Primary and Secondary Data Sources in Management Research

1.1 Definitional Foundations and Strategic Selection

Empirical business research depends entirely on the acquisition of high-quality, relevant, timely, and verifiable data. Data represents the raw empirical facts, figures, text, observations, and symbols from which informative meaning and statistical insights are derived. Researchers distinguish fundamentally between primary data and secondary data based on the origin of generation and the purpose for which the information was gathered.

Primary Data: Primary data refers to original, first-hand information collected directly by the researcher specifically for the purpose of addressing the immediate, unique research problem at hand. Sources of primary data include custom-designed survey questionnaires, semi-structured interviews, focus group recordings, direct ethnographic observations, and laboratory experiments. The primary advantage of primary data is its bespoke relevance: every question, scale, and operational metric is tailored to match the theoretical variables under investigation. Furthermore, the researcher exercises direct operational control over data recency, measurement accuracy, and sampling integrity. However, gathering primary data is inherently expensive, labor-intensive, time-consuming, and subject to non-response bias, respondent fatigue, and social desirability distortion.

Secondary Data: Secondary data refers to information that was previously collected, compiled, organized, and published by someone other than the researcher for an alternative objective, but which has direct or indirect utility for the current investigation. Sources of secondary data include internal corporate repositories (sales ledgers, CRM databases, financial statements, ERP audit trails) and external published repositories (government statistical registries such as the Reserve Bank of India publications, Census of India reports, Ministry of Commerce bulletins, World Bank open data repositories, academic journal archives, and commercial market research databases like Nielsen or Gartner). The major advantages of secondary data are its cost-effectiveness, rapid accessibility, broad longitudinal coverage, and ability to provide immediate industry benchmarks. Conversely, secondary data carries severe potential limitations: the data may be obsolete, operational definitions may not align with current research variables, measurement units may differ, and the researcher has zero control over potential methodological errors or biases embedded within the original data compilation.

1.2 Specialized Corporate and Economic Databases in Indian Management Research

Researchers conducting business and financial management studies in India rely extensively on recognized institutional database repositories:

degreelive

- **CMIE ProwessIQ:** The Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy database providing standardized longitudinal financial statements, stock market performance, corporate governance data, and ownership profiles for over 50,000 listed and unlisted Indian corporations.
- **RBI Database on Indian Economy (DBIE):** Comprehensive macroeconomic time-series data covering money supply, inflation metrics (CPI and WPI), foreign exchange reserves, banking sector performance, and fiscal balance indicators.
- **National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) / MoSPI:** Micro-level empirical household consumption expenditures, employment surveys, unorganized enterprise statistics, and socioeconomic demographic registers.
- **Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA-21):** Statutory regulatory filings, director disclosures, annual balance sheets, and charge registers of registered Indian companies.

1.3 Evaluating Secondary Data: The CARS Framework

Before incorporating secondary data into formal research, investigators must critically audit the source using the standardized CARS criteria:

- **Credibility:** Auditing the institutional authority, professional credentials, reputation, and peer-reviewed standing of the publishing agency or author.
- **Accuracy:** Verifying that data collection methodologies, sampling frames, and measurement protocols were scientifically sound, with clear disclosure of margins of error.
- **Reasonableness:** Evaluating whether the findings are balanced, objective, free from promotional propaganda or political bias, and internally consistent.
- **Support:** Examining whether the secondary data provides explicit documentation, verifiable source citations, and corroborated external references.

Chapter 2: Primary Data Collection Instruments and Methodological Protocols

2.1 Structured Questionnaires: Design Principles and Question Sequencing

A questionnaire is a formalized, standardized set of questions designed to elicit structured information from respondents. Designing an effective questionnaire is both an art and a science, requiring rigorous adherence to methodological principles:

- **Elimination of Double-Barreled Questions:** Every question must address a single, discrete conceptual issue. A question such as "Do you find the company's product affordable and easy to use?" forces a single answer to two distinct variables, invalidating the response.
- **Avoiding Leading and Loaded Questions:** A leading question subtly nudges the respondent toward a socially desirable or preferred answer (e.g., "Do you agree that our superior customer service exceeds competitor standards?"). Questions must remain completely neutral and balanced.
- **Clarity and Simplicity of Language:** Avoiding technical jargon, esoteric acronyms, and ambiguous words (such as "regularly", "frequently", or "occasionally") that carry subjective,

DegreeLive

variable meanings across respondents.

- **Logical Funnel Sequencing:** The questionnaire must flow logically from general, interesting, and non-threatening introductory questions to more specific behavioral inquiries, placing complex cognitive evaluations in the middle and sensitive personal demographic questions (income, age, marital status) at the very end to avoid early survey abandonment.
- **Response Category Exhaustiveness and Mutual Exclusivity:** Categorical options must provide mutually exclusive choices (no overlapping categories such as 20–30 and 30–40) while being collectively exhaustive.

2.2 Qualitative Research Tools: Focus Groups and Projective Techniques

When investigating complex psychological motives, unconscious emotions, or delicate personal attitudes that consumers cannot or will not reveal through structured surveys, researchers employ specialized qualitative methods:

- **Focus Group Discussions (FGDs):** An interactive, moderated discussion conducted with a homogeneous cohort of 6 to 10 participants, guided by a trained moderator using a structured discussion guide. The hallmark of FGDs is *Group Synergy*: interactions between participants stimulate spontaneous reflections, emotional reactions, and shared cultural narratives that individual interviews fail to elicit. Sessions are audio-visually recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using thematic qualitative coding.
- **Projective Techniques:** Unstructured, indirect questioning techniques derived from clinical psychology, where respondents are asked to interpret the behavior of others or project their own hidden emotions onto ambiguous external stimuli:
  - *Word Association:* Respondents are presented with a series of stimulus words and instructed to immediately state the first word that comes to mind, uncovering raw brand imagery.
  - *Sentence Completion:* Respondents complete unfinished sentences (e.g., "A person who buys luxury electric sports cars is usually..."), revealing implicit stereotypes.
  - *Thematic Apperception Test (TAT) / Picture Interpretation:* Participants view ambiguous cartoon illustrations or photographs and create a narrative describing what the characters are feeling and thinking.
  - *Third-Person Technique:* Asking respondents how their neighbors or typical colleagues would react to a sensitive corporate mandate, bypassing defensive social desirability barriers.

Chapter 3: Measurement Levels, Scaling Techniques, and Psychometric Validation

3.1 The Four Classical Levels of Measurement Scales

Measurement is the operational process of assigning numbers or symbols to attributes or characteristics of objects, individuals, or events in accordance with pre-specified, logically consistent rules. In business research, four classical levels of measurement scales exist, each possessing progressively higher mathematical properties:

Scale Level	Defining Mathematical Properties	Measure of Central Tendency	Permissible Statistical Tests	Business Management Examples
Nominal	Classification and identity only; mutually exclusive categories; no order or distance.	Mode	Chi-Square test, percentages, frequency counts, contingency coefficient.	Gender, brand choice, retail store format, geographic sales zone.
Ordinal	Classification plus logical rank order; intervals between ranks are unequal and unknown.	Median	Spearman rank correlation, Mann-Whitney U test, Kruskal-Wallis test.	Customer satisfaction ratings (Low/Med/High), executive seniority ranks, brand preference ranking.
Interval	Classification, rank order, and equal intervals; arbitrary zero point (no absolute zero).	Arithmetic Mean	Standard deviation, Pearson correlation, Student's t-test, ANOVA.	Likert agreement scales (1 to 5), Fahrenheit/Celsius temperature, credit score indices.
Ratio	Classification, rank order, equal intervals, and a true absolute zero point.	Geometric Mean / Mean	All statistical tests, coefficient of variation, mathematical ratios and proportions.	Sales revenue in rupees, inventory units, advertising spend, employee age, delivery duration.

3.2 Comparative versus Non-Comparative Scaling Techniques

Measurement scaling techniques in consumer research are classified broadly into comparative and non-comparative techniques:

- **Comparative Scales:** Involve direct comparison of stimulus objects against one another. Data possesses only ordinal or rank properties:
  - *Paired Comparison:* A respondent is presented with two objects at a time (e.g., Brand A vs. Brand B) and asked to select one according to a specific criterion.
  - *Rank Order Scaling:* Respondents are presented with several objects simultaneously and instructed to rank them from 1st to n-th preference.
  - *Constant Sum Scaling:* Respondents allocate a fixed constant sum of points (typically 100 points) across multiple product attributes (e.g., price, aesthetics, durability) reflecting their relative importance.
- **Non-Comparative Scales:** Each stimulus object is scaled independently of other objects:
  - *Continuous Rating Scale:* Respondents score objects by placing a mark on a continuous line anchored by extreme adjectives (e.g., Worst to Best).

DegreeLive

- *Itemized Rating Scales:* Comprises the Likert Scale, Semantic Differential Scale, and Stapel Scale, providing distinct numerical categories.

3.3 Psychometric Rigor: Reliability and Validity

A measurement scale is useless if it lacks psychometric soundness. Researchers must evaluate two distinct qualities:

Reliability (Consistency and Stability): The degree to which a measurement instrument yields consistent, dependable results across repeated administrations. Key forms include:

- *Test-Retest Reliability:* Administering the identical scale to the same respondents across two distinct time intervals to gauge temporal stability.
- *Parallel-Forms Reliability:* Administering two equivalent versions of a scale measuring the same construct to the same respondents.
- *Internal Consistency Reliability:* The degree to which individual items measuring a single construct correlate with one another. Assessed universally via **Cronbach's Alpha (α)**, where values between 0.70 and 0.95 indicate satisfactory to excellent internal consistency.

Validity (Accuracy and Truthfulness): The extent to which a scale truly measures the conceptual construct it was designed to measure. Key forms include:

- *Content (Face) Validity:* Subjective expert judgment confirming that the scale items comprehensively cover all facets of the theoretical construct.
- *Criterion-Related Validity:* The degree to which the scale correlates with an external benchmark, divided into *Concurrent Validity* (correlating with an existing validated instrument administered simultaneously) and *Predictive Validity* (accurately forecasting a future outcome).
- *Construct Validity:* Confirms that the scale operationalizes the underlying theoretical construct, divided into *Convergent Validity* (items measuring the same construct correlate strongly together) and *Discriminant Validity* (the construct does not correlate strongly with theoretically unrelated constructs).

Chapter 4: Sampling Theory, Census versus Sample, and Sampling Techniques

4.1 The Theoretical Foundations of Sampling

In empirical business research, studying an entire **Population** or universe through a complete **Census** is frequently impossible, prohibitively expensive, logistically intractable, and time-prohibitive. Consequently, researchers draw a representative **Sample**—a carefully chosen subset of the population whose statistical properties (sample statistics) mirror the true parameters of the broader universe.

Sampling theory rests on two foundational mathematical laws: (a) **The Law of Statistical Regularity**, which states that a moderately large number of items chosen at random from a large

universe will, on average, possess the characteristics of the universe; and (b) **The Law of Inertia of Large Numbers**, which establishes that large aggregates are statistically more stable, constant, and predictable than individual micro-elements.

4.2 Probability Sampling Techniques

In probability sampling, every element in the target population has a known, non-zero mathematical probability of being selected, enabling objective statistical estimation of sampling error and probabilistic generalization to the population:

- **Simple Random Sampling (SRS):** Every element in the sampling frame has an equal and independent chance of selection, executed via computer-generated random numbers or lottery methods. While completely free from researcher bias, SRS requires an exhaustive, up-to-date sampling frame and can be geographically dispersed and costly.
- **Systematic Sampling:** Selecting every k-th element from an ordered sampling frame after a random starting point between 1 and k, where the sampling interval is calculated as:

  > $$k = \frac{N}{n}$$
  >
  > **Where:**
  > k = Sampling interval.
  > N = Total population size.
  > n = Desired sample size.

  Researchers must ensure the sampling frame exhibits no hidden cyclical periodicity that matches the interval k.
- **Stratified Random Sampling:** The heterogeneous population is partitioned into mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive homogeneous sub-groups called *strata* (e.g., stratified by firm revenue: Small, Medium, Large). Independent random samples are then selected from each stratum, either proportionately (sample size in each stratum mirrors its population share) or disproportionately (oversampling smaller, highly variable strata). This technique guarantees representation of minority cohorts and drastically reduces sampling variance.
- **Cluster Sampling:** The total population is divided into heterogeneous clusters (e.g., municipal wards, educational districts) where each individual cluster internally reflects the full diversity of the total population. A random sample of clusters is chosen, and either all elements within chosen clusters are surveyed (one-stage cluster sampling) or sub-sampled (multi-stage cluster sampling). Cluster sampling provides immense administrative cost savings over large geographic regions, though its sampling error is typically higher than SRS.

4.3 Non-Probability Sampling Techniques

In non-probability sampling, elements are selected based on subjective researcher judgment, convenience, or accessibility, meaning selection probabilities are unknown. While fast and economical, findings cannot be mathematically generalized to the broader population:

- **Convenience Sampling:** Data are collected from elements that are most readily available and accessible to the researcher (e.g., mall intercepts, surveying peers in a university hall). Susceptible to severe selection bias.
- **Purposive (Judgmental) Sampling:** The researcher intentionally selects sample elements based on explicit professional expertise, specialist knowledge, or predefined operational criteria considered vital to the study (e.g., interviewing Chief Risk Officers with over 15 years of banking experience).
- **Quota Sampling:** Setting explicit numerical quotas for predefined demographic segments (e.g., 50% male, 50% female; 40% urban, 60% rural) to ensure superficial demographic representation, while allowing field interviewers to select individual respondents within those quotas via convenience.
- **Snowball (Chain-Referral) Sampling:** An initial small cohort of qualifying respondents is identified, who subsequently provide referrals to other qualified individuals possessing identical rare characteristics (indispensable for hard-to-reach populations such as angel investors, forensic auditors, or victims of corporate whistleblowing).

Chapter 5: Sample Size Determination, Error Analysis, and Pilot Testing

5.1 Mathematical Computation of Sample Size

The determination of sample size is a vital methodological decision. An undersized sample yields insufficient statistical power to detect meaningful effects, while an oversized sample squanders valuable financial resources and time without adding meaningful precision. The mathematical formula for estimating a population mean with a specified margin of error is derived from the standard normal distribution:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot \sigma^2}{e^2}$$

Where:
n = Minimum required sample size.
Z = Standard normal score corresponding to the desired confidence level (1.96 for 95% confidence, 2.58 for 99% confidence).
σ = Population standard deviation (estimated from past literature or a pilot study).
e = Acceptable margin of error (precision level in operational measurement units).

Similarly, when estimating population proportions (e.g., market share, customer satisfaction percentage), the sample size formula is:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}{e^2}$$

Where:
p = Hypothesized population proportion (assumed at 0.50 to yield the most conservative, maximum sample

size).
e = Acceptable margin of error in percentage terms (e.g., 0.05 for plus or minus 5% margin of error).

When sampling from a finite population of known size N without replacement, and the sample represents more than 5% of the total universe ($n/N > 0.05$), the researcher must apply the **Finite Population Correction (FPC)** factor, adjusting the initial sample size estimate $n0$ to:

$$n = \frac{n0}{1+\frac{n0-1}{N}}$$

Where:
n = Adjusted sample size for the finite population.
$n0$ = Unadjusted sample size derived from the standard formula.
N = Known finite population size.

5.2 Sampling versus Non-Sampling Errors

Total research error is bifurcated into two foundational categories:

Dimension of Contrast	Sampling Errors	Non-Sampling Errors
Core Origin	Arises solely because a sample is audited rather than a complete population census.	Arises from human mistakes, flawed design, administrative errors, and communication failures.
Relationship with Sample Size	Inversely related: As sample size increases, sampling error declines systematically toward zero.	Directly related: As sample size increases, administrative complexity grows, increasing error risk.
Mathematical Quantification	Can be calculated precisely using standard error formulas and probability distributions.	Extremely difficult to quantify mathematically; requires strict operational quality control.
Common Illustrative Sources	Chance variations in random selection; unrepresentative sample draws.	Defective questionnaire wording, data entry typos, interviewer fraud, non-response bias.

5.3 The Critical Role of Pre-Testing and Pilot Studies

Prior to deploying a full-scale empirical survey, conducting a rigorous **Pilot Study** is mandatory. A pilot study involves administering the preliminary questionnaire to a small, representative sub-sample (typically 30 to 50 respondents) under actual field conditions. A pilot study serves five vital functions:

1. Evaluating question wording clarity, syntax, and respondent cognitive comprehension.
2. Detecting ambiguous, sensitive, or offensive questions causing respondent resistance.
3. Assessing average completion duration to gauge survey fatigue risk.

4. Testing the effectiveness of field interviewer training and digital data capture software.
5. Conducting preliminary psychometric checks—calculating Cronbach's Alpha for construct reliability and performing exploratory factor analysis to verify construct dimensionality.

Chapter 6: Field Administration, Non-Response Bias Mitigation, and Worked Calculations

6.1 Non-Response Bias Analysis and the Armstrong-Overton Extrapolation Method

In survey research, non-response error occurs when individuals who decline to participate or fail to return questionnaires differ systematically in their behaviors or attitudes from those who respond. If unaddressed, non-response bias severely distorts findings and destroys external validity. To scientifically test for non-response bias, researchers employ the **Armstrong and Overton (1977) Extrapolation Method**. This technique operates on the empirical assumption that late respondents (those who reply only after repeated follow-up reminders) are behaviorally similar to non-respondents. The researcher divides the completed survey dataset chronologically into two equal halves—Early Respondents and Late Respondents—and conducts independent samples t-tests across major demographic and substantive study variables. If no statistically significant differences emerge between early and late respondents, non-response bias is considered negligible.

6.2 Worked Numerical Example 1: Sample Size for Estimating Mean Delivery Time

A national e-commerce logistics manager wishes to estimate the average order delivery fulfillment time across metro cities with 95% confidence ($Z = 1.96$\$) and an acceptable margin of error of $e = 2 \text{ hours}$\$. A preliminary pilot study of 35 deliveries revealed a sample standard deviation of $\sigma = 14 \text{ hours}$\$. Calculate the required minimum sample size.

> $$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot \sigma^2}{e^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot (14)^2}{(2)^2} = \frac{3.8416 \cdot 196}{4} = \frac{752.9536}{4} \approx 188.24$$
>
> **Resolution:** Rounding up to the next integer, the enterprise must collect data from a minimum of **189** randomly selected customer delivery shipments to achieve the desired precision.

6.3 Worked Numerical Example 2: Sample Size with Finite Population Correction

A manufacturing enterprise has an exact workforce of $N = 800 \text{ employees}$\$. The HR director wishes to estimate the proportion of employees favoring a four-day work week with 95% confidence ($Z = 1.96$\$) and a precision margin of $e = 0.05$\$. Assuming the most conservative proportion ($p = 0.50$\$), calculate the final sample size adjusted for the finite population.

> $$n_0 = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}{e^2} = \frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot 0.50 \cdot 0.50}{(0.05)^2} = \frac{3.8416 \cdot 0.25}{0.0025} = 384.16$$
>
> $$n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{n_0 - 1}{N}} = \frac{384.16}{1 + \frac{383.16}{800}} = \frac{384.16}{1 + 0.47895} = \frac{384.16}{1.47895} \approx 259.75$$

> **Resolution:** Because the initial sample size ($n_0 = 385$\$) exceeds 5% of the total workforce ($385/800 = 48.1\%$\$), applying the Finite Population Correction adjusts the required sample size down to **260** employees.

6.4 Digital and Mobile Survey Methodologies and CAPI Protocols

Modern data collection has transitioned extensively toward **Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI)** and digital mobile surveys. While digital surveys drastically reduce administration costs, eliminate physical paper handling, and enable real-time cloud data validation, they introduce unique methodological challenges. Specifically, researchers must actively manage *Device Responsiveness* (ensuring visual grids render cleanly on smartphone screens without horizontal scrolling distortion), implement *Attention-Check Questions* (trap items designed to identify careless, automated bot, or speed-running respondents), and evaluate *Coverage Error* (mitigating the systematic exclusion of elderly or economically marginalized demographics who lack regular high-speed internet connectivity). Combining mobile digital capture with localized field intercept protocols balances technological speed with demographic representation.

6.5 Ethical Data Governance: The DPDP Act 2023 and Informed Consent

Modern empirical data collection must strictly comply with evolving statutory data privacy frameworks, notably India's **Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDP Act, 2023)** and the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). In corporate research, participants are recognized as *Data Principals* whose personal identifiable information (PII) cannot be collected, processed, or transferred without affirmative, explicit, and unbundled **Informed Consent**. Research questionnaires must clearly specify the exact operational purpose of data collection, the organizational identity of the *Data Fiduciary*, the designated retention timeframe, and transparent mechanisms through which respondents can exercise statutory rights to review, rectify, or withdraw consent (Right to Erasure). Implementing robust data anonymization and pseudonymization protocols guarantees that empirical findings can be published without compromising respondent confidentiality.

6.6 Practical Guidelines for Designing Survey Instruments and Multi-Item Scales

In business and behavioral research, relying on a single survey item to measure complex psychological constructs (such as brand attachment or organizational commitment) introduces severe measurement error. Researchers must operationalize constructs using **Multi-Item Measurement Batteries**, typically incorporating four to six reflective indicator items per latent dimension. When formulating survey items, researchers must observe several foundational psychometric guidelines:

- *Balancing Item Polarity:* Incorporating both positively worded statements (e.g., "This company delivers exceptional product reliability") and reverse-coded statements (e.g., "I frequently encounter delays when dealing with customer support") to identify and control for **Acquiescence Response Bias**—the unconscious tendency of respondents to agree with survey statements irrespective of content.
- *Optimal Scale Granularity:* Methodological evidence indicates that 5-point and 7-point Likert scales offer the optimal balance between cognitive discriminator capacity and respondent mental

fatigue. Rating scales with fewer than 5 points lack variance and statistical sensitivity, whereas scales exceeding 7 points induce respondent confusion and arbitrary scoring.

- *Labeling Scale Anchors:* Fully labeling all response scale anchors with descriptive verbal descriptors (e.g., 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Somewhat Disagree, 4 = Neither Agree Nor Disagree, 5 = Somewhat Agree, 6 = Agree, 7 = Strongly Agree) yields significantly higher test-retest reliability and lower cognitive variance compared to numerical endpoints where only the extremes are labeled.
- *Common Method Bias (CMB) Mitigation:* When independent and dependent variables are measured using identical survey respondents at a single point in time, spurious statistical covariance can arise purely from the common measurement method. Researchers apply procedural remedies—such as physically separating measurement batteries across distinct pages, introducing cognitive buffer tasks, guaranteeing respondent anonymity, and alternating response scale formats—alongside statistical remedies (Harman's Single-Factor Test and Marker Variable Technique) to ensure valid empirical results.

DegreeLive