DegreeLive

Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA) | Semester 4 | UK4DSCMGT202

Organisational Behaviour and Group Dynamics

Course Code: UK4DSCMGT202 • Module 1 Notes

degreelive.in

Module 1: Evolution and Foundations of Organisational Behaviour

Course: Organisational Behaviour and Group Dynamics (UK4DSCMGT202) • Academic Level: Semester 4 • DegreeLive Academic Press

1. Concept, Meaning, and Strategic Scope of Organisational Behaviour

Organisational Behaviour (OB) is an applied behavioral science discipline that systematically investigates how individuals, formal and informal groups, and structural architectures interact within an organization, and how these behavioral dynamics influence enterprise performance, operational efficiency, and human well-being. Unlike pure administrative theory that focuses on static organizational charts and mechanistic workflow charts, organisational behaviour views enterprises as complex, dynamic social systems populated by self-conscious, purposeful human actors possessing unique cognitive perceptions, emotional responses, cultural backgrounds, and motivational drivers.

The field of organisational behaviour seeks to achieve four fundamental scientific objectives within managerial practice:

- **Description:** Systematically identifying and documenting how individuals and workgroups behave across varying organizational conditions, physical workplace layouts, and administrative arrangements.
- **Understanding:** Diagnosing the root psychological, sociological, and environmental causes that explain why individuals behave the way they do (e.g., investigating why absenteeism spikes during departmental restructurings).
- **Prediction:** Anticipating future employee reactions, turnover intentions, performance variations, and collective resistance before specific strategic actions, technological introductions, or policy shifts are enacted.
- **Control and Direction:** Developing actionable managerial interventions, leadership practices, motivational incentives, and team structures that steer employee efforts toward the achievement of corporate strategic goals while fostering employee job satisfaction and psychological growth.

The Tri-Level Analytical Architecture of Organisational Behaviour

Modern behavioral scholars analyze workplace phenomena across three ascending, interrelated levels of analysis:

1. **Individual Level of Analysis:** Focuses on the psychological attributes of the individual worker, including cognitive personality dispositions, perception and attribution filters, learning processes, workplace attitudes, values, emotional intelligence, and intrinsic motivation.
2. **Group Level of Analysis:** Explores the social interactions among workers when collaborating in teams, encompassing informal group formation, group norms, cohesiveness, communication patterns, collective decision-making, interpersonal conflict, power dynamics, and leadership influence.

DegreeLive

3. **Organizational Systems Level of Analysis:** Examines macro-level enterprise dimensions, including formal organizational structures, job design configurations, human resource policies, corporate culture, change management architectures, and adaptation to global business environments.

2. Historical Development and Evolution of Behavioral Management Thought

The intellectual evolution of organisational behaviour reflects a progressive transformation from viewing workers as replaceable mechanistic cogs in an industrial machine to recognizing employees as social, emotional, and cognitive partners in corporate value creation.

Historical Movement	Primary Theorists	Core Philosophical Assumption Regarding Labor	Key Structural Contributions
Classical Scientific Management	Frederick Winslow Taylor, Frank & Lillian Gilbreth, Henry Gantt	Economic Man Model: Workers are rational, self-interested economic units motivated exclusively by monetary compensation.	Time and motion studies, standardized tools, differential piece-rate wage systems, division of labor, functional foremanship.
Classical Administrative & Bureaucratic Theory	Henri Fayol, Max Weber	Administrative Efficiency: Organizations function best through formal authority hierarchies, clear jurisdictions, and rigid procedural rules.	Fayol's 14 Principles of Management, Weber's Bureaucratic Model (merit-based hiring, impersonality, strict record-keeping).
Human Relations Movement	Elton Mayo, Fritz Roethlisberger	Social Man Model: Workers are primarily social beings motivated by peer belonging, recognition, and supportive supervisory relationships.	The Hawthorne Experiments, discovery of the informal workgroup, social norms regulating physical productivity, psychological factors in output.
Behavioral Science Era	Abraham Maslow, Douglas McGregor, Frederick Herzberg, Chester Barnard	Self-Actualizing Man: Employees seek intrinsic meaning, autonomy, personal growth, and cognitive mastery in their vocational work.	Hierarchy of Needs, Theory X and Theory Y assumptions, Two-Factor Motivation-Hygiene theory, participatory management.

DegreeLive

Historical Movement	Primary Theorists	Core Philosophical Assumption Regarding Labor	Key Structural Contributions
Contingency & Systems Framework	Paul Lawrence, Jay Lorsch, Fred Fiedler	Complex Man Model: No single universal management style fits all situations; optimum practices depend on environmental variables.	Open systems modeling, situational leadership, contextual organizational design matching environmental turbulence.

The Landmark Hawthorne Experiments (1924–1932)

Conducted by Elton Mayo and his research team from Harvard University at Western Electric's Hawthorne Works near Chicago, these studies represent the pivotal catalyst giving birth to the human relations movement. The investigations spanned four distinct phases:

1. **Illumination Experiments (1924–1927):** Tested the relationship between physical shop-floor lighting intensity and industrial productivity. Researchers discovered that output increased not only when illumination was raised, but also when lighting was systematically reduced to moonlight levels. Productivity collapsed only when workers could no longer physically see their work. This proved that psychological awareness of being observed (the "Hawthorne Effect") influenced output far more than physical environmental variables.
2. **Relay Assembly Test Room (1927–1932):** A small group of female assembly workers was placed in a dedicated test room where researchers introduced varying rest breaks, shorter workdays, and free company lunches. Productivity steadily increased and remained elevated even when all physical privileges were subsequently withdrawn. Researchers concluded that the formation of a warm social climate, non-authoritarian supervision, and the feeling of special importance drove high sustained output.
3. **Mass Interviewing Program (1928–1930):** Over 21,000 employees were interviewed to explore workplace grievances. Workers utilized interviews as an emotional release (catharsis), demonstrating that productivity is heavily linked to psychological feelings of dignity, fair treatment, and empathetic listening.
4. **Bank Wiring Observation Room (1931–1932):** Male workers assembling telephone switches established strong informal group norms that superseded formal company financial incentives. The group enforced an informal daily production quota, penalizing over-producers ("rate-busters") and under-producers ("chisellers") through social ostracism and physical sarcasm, proving that informal peer pressure exerts greater operational control over productivity than corporate piece-rate wage systems.

3. Interdisciplinary Roots of Organisational Behaviour

Organisational behaviour does not operate as an isolated intellectual silo; it functions as a synthesis of multiple behavioral and social sciences, integrating concepts to solve workplace operational challenges:

- **Psychology:** Contributes foundational insights into individual-level behavior. Concepts drawn from psychology include cognitive learning theories, personality testing architectures (Big Five, MBTI), perceptual distortion filters, motivation theories, training design, emotional intelligence, job satisfaction metrics, and occupational stress management.
- **Sociology:** Investigates human behavior within social systems and formal institutions. Sociologists provide vital understanding regarding organizational structures, formal bureaucratic designs, corporate culture formation, power distribution, status hierarchies, inter-group conflict, and corporate socialization processes.
- **Social Psychology:** Bridges psychology and sociology by studying how individuals influence and are influenced by other people in social settings. Contributes key knowledge on group dynamics, behavioral conformity, persuasion tactics, attitude formation, interpersonal communication, leadership emergence, and collective group decision-making.
- **Anthropology:** The scientific study of human societies, cultures, and evolutionary rituals. Provides corporate management with frameworks for analyzing organizational culture, symbolic rituals, core institutional values, and cross-cultural differences across multinational workforces.
- **Political Science:** Explores the acquisition, exercise, and structuring of power and authority. Helps organizational researchers understand internal corporate politics, coalition building, lobbying behaviors, bargaining tactics, and conflict resolution in high-stakes boardroom environments.

4. International and Cultural Dimensions of Organisational Behaviour

In contemporary globalized commerce, multinational corporations operate across diverse geographic borders, deploying cross-functional teams comprising individuals from varied cultural traditions. Cultural values shape employee expectations regarding leadership authority, motivational rewards, teamwork, and communication styles.

Geert Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Model

Based on extensive empirical studies across multinational subsidiaries, Dutch social psychologist Geert Hofstede identified six primary cultural dimensions that explain behavioral variations across national boundaries:

Cultural Dimension	Low-Score Behavioral Characteristics	High-Score Behavioral Characteristics	Managerial Workplace Implications
Power Distance Index (PDI)	Egalitarian; authority is questioned; consultative decision-making; flat organizational hierarchies (e.g., Denmark, Sweden).	Hierarchical; tall command structures; centralized authority; deep deference to executive status and seniority (e.g., India, Malaysia).	In high-PDI cultures, employees expect clear top-down directives and formal supervisory titles; in low-PDI cultures, employees expect participatory consultation.

DegreeLive

Cultural Dimension	Low-Score Behavioral Characteristics	High-Score Behavioral Characteristics	Managerial Workplace Implications
Individualism vs. Collectivism (IDV)	Collectivist: Priority on group harmony, family loyalty, and corporate in-group solidarity (e.g., Japan, China).	Individualist: Priority on personal autonomy, self-reliance, and individual career achievements (e.g., United States, Australia).	Individualist workforces respond to individual performance bonuses and public recognition; collectivist workforces value team-based rewards and group consensus.
Masculinity vs. Femininity (MAS)	Feminine: Focus on quality of life, relational empathy, workplace harmony, and environmental care (e.g., Norway, Netherlands).	Masculine: Focus on assertiveness, fierce competition, material success, and high task accomplishment (e.g., Japan, Germany).	Feminine cultures value work-life balance and flexible working arrangements; masculine cultures emphasize performance metrics and career advancement tracks.
Uncertainty Avoidance Index (UAI)	Tolerant of ambiguity; comfortable with unstructured environments, fluid roles, and entrepreneurial risk (e.g., Singapore, UK).	Intolerant of ambiguity; strict operating procedures, rigid legal regulations, and formal documentation to minimize risk (e.g., Greece, Japan).	High-UAI workforces demand detailed standard operating procedures (SOPs) and job descriptions; low-UAI cultures thrive in agile, rapidly changing environments.
Long-Term vs. Short-Term Orientation (LTO)	Short-term: Focus on immediate quarterly earnings, traditional obligations, and immediate gratification (e.g., USA).	Long-term: Focus on persistence, thrift, multi-decade capital compounding, and strategic adaptation (e.g., South Korea, China).	Long-term cultures support patient strategic capital investments; short-term cultures prioritize immediate quarterly dividend payouts.
Indulgence vs. Restraint (IND)	Restrained: Strict social norms, suppression of personal desires, and discipline regarding leisure time (e.g., Russia).	Indulgent: Free gratification of human desires, emphasis on personal happiness, recreation, and optimism (e.g., Mexico).	Impacts employee wellness programs, recreational amenities, and organizational attitudes toward leisure and work-life boundaries.

5. Contemporary Challenges and Emerging Paradigms in Organisational Behaviour

Modern organizational leadership must navigate disruptive macro-environmental trends reshaping the 21st-century workplace:

1. **Managing Workforce Diversity:** Integrating multi-generational cohorts (Baby Boomers, Gen X, Millennials, Gen Z) alongside diverse genders, ethnicities, cognitive styles, and physical abilities to build inclusive, high-performing corporate cultures.
2. **Digital Transformation and Algorithmic Management:** Navigating the psychological impact of artificial intelligence, automated performance monitoring, algorithmic scheduling, and data-driven talent analytics on employee autonomy, trust, and job security.
3. **Hybrid Work Architectures and Virtual Cohesion:** Sustaining corporate identity, informal knowledge sharing, and psychological safety across geographically distributed teams collaborating via digital videoconferencing and enterprise messaging platforms.
4. **Employee Mental Health and Burnout Mitigation:** Combating digital fatigue, cognitive overload, and 24/7 hyper-connectivity by instituting corporate wellness programs, transparent workload balancing, and institutional "Right to Disconnect" workplace norms.

6. The Open Systems and Contingency Framework of Organisational Behaviour

Modern behavioral scholars reject closed, mechanistic views of organizations, analyzing enterprises through the conceptual lens of **Open Systems Theory**. An open system continuously interacts with its external environment, drawing human, financial, material, and informational inputs, transforming those inputs through internal operational processes, and exporting outputs (finished goods, services, employee satisfaction, corporate reputation) back into the broader society.

The open systems architecture in organisational behaviour operates across four dynamic feedback mechanisms:

- **Environmental Boundary Permeability:** Organizations must maintain permeable boundaries that absorb macroeconomic, technological, and competitive signals. Failure to perceive environmental shifts creates operational entropy and corporate obsolescence.
- **Negative Entropy and Self-Renewal:** Physical systems naturally degrade toward disorganization (entropy). Enterprises reverse entropy by recruiting exceptional human talent, continuously training personnel, reorganizing operational structures, and injecting fresh technological capital.
- **Equifinality:** The principle that an organization can reach the same desired strategic end-state through multiple distinct developmental pathways and managerial configurations. There is no single dogmatic "one best way" to achieve high enterprise performance.
- **Dynamic Homeostasis (Systemic Equilibrium):** When external shocks occur (such as sudden supply chain disruptions or regulatory shifts), the internal social system adjusts its behavioral routines to re-establish operational balance and emotional stability.

The Contingency Model of Organisational Behaviour

The contingency approach posits that the effectiveness of any managerial practice, leadership style, or motivational incentive is contingent upon the specific interaction between internal organizational variables and external environmental constraints. Formulated mathematically as *Behavior = f(Person,*

Environment), Kurt Lewin demonstrated that human action cannot be understood by examining personal traits or workplace environments in isolation.

Organizational Variable	Stable / Predictable Operating Environment	Volatile / Turbulent Operating Environment
Structural Design	Mechanistic Structure: Rigid hierarchies, clear departmental silos, formal standard operating procedures (SOPs).	Organic Structure: Flat networks, cross-functional project teams, decentralized decision-making autonomy.
Leadership Behavior	Directive / Instrumental: Clarifying routine tasks, monitoring compliance, enforcing operational precision.	Transformational / Adaptive: Fostering rapid experimentation, psychological safety, and collective sense-making.
Communication Flow	Vertical, top-down formal reporting channels; formal memos and administrative documentation.	Multi-directional, lateral, real-time digital communication across functional and hierarchical boundaries.
Motivational Drivers	Standardized financial bonuses, seniority-based wage increments, clear job security.	Skill mastery, creative autonomy, project ownership, equity stock incentives (ESOPs).

7. Cross-Cultural Human Resource Deployment: The EPRG Framework

When operating across international boundaries, multinational corporations navigate four strategic orientations regarding workforce integration, formulated by Howard Perlmutter in the **EPRG Framework**:

1. **Ethnocentric Orientation (Home-Country Dominance):** Senior executive positions across global subsidiaries are filled exclusively by expatriate managers from corporate headquarters. Home-country operational practices and behavioral norms are imposed globally under the belief that headquarters practices are superior. While ensuring central control, this approach triggers cultural conflict, demoralizes local host-country employees, and blinds management to local market nuances.
2. **Polycentric Orientation (Host-Country Autonomy):** Subsidiaries are treated as distinct independent entities managed by host-country nationals who possess deep familiarity with local cultural customs, legal systems, and consumer psychology. While minimizing cultural friction, this orientation hinders cross-subsidiary knowledge sharing and creates fragmented corporate cultures.
3. **Regiocentric Orientation (Regional Integration):** Operations are coordinated across geographic regions (e.g., European Union, Asia-Pacific, Latin America). Personnel are transferred fluidly within regional boundaries to optimize functional synergies while respecting macro-regional cultural affinities.
4. **Geocentric Orientation (Global Competency Integration):** A truly borderless, merit-based philosophy that seeks the best talent for every key role regardless of nationality. Transnational corporations build a unified global organizational culture that harmonizes local cultural sensitivities with global performance standards.

Degreelive

8. High-Performance Work Systems (HPWS) and Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Modern organisational behaviour links behavioral research directly to enterprise performance through **High-Performance Work Systems (HPWS)**—an integrated bundle of human resource practices designed to maximize employee competence, motivation, and commitment:

- **Selective Rigorous Staffing:** Multi-stage behavioral interviews, assessment centers, and cognitive testing to ensure high person-job and person-organization fit.
- **Extensive Continuous Training:** Developing both deep functional capabilities and broad interpersonal communication skills.
- **Decentralized Self-Managed Teams:** Granting operational teams genuine decision-making authority over workflow scheduling, quality audits, and process improvements.
- **Comprehensive Information Sharing:** Providing frontline workers with transparent access to financial balance sheets, operating costs, and strategic enterprise performance metrics.

When HPWS architectures are implemented effectively, they cultivate **Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB)**—discretionary, voluntary behaviors that are not part of an employee's formal contractual job requirements, but that collectively promote the effective functioning of the organization. OCB encompasses five core behavioral dimensions: *Altruism* (voluntarily assisting colleagues with heavy workloads), *Conscientiousness* (performing tasks far beyond minimum requirements), *Sportsmanship* (tolerating workplace inconveniences without complaining), *Courtesy* (taking proactive steps to prevent interpersonal friction), and *Civic Virtue* (constructively participating in organizational governance and community events).

9. Max Weber's Bureaucracy Versus the Human Relations Model

The structural evolution of management theory was defined by the dialectic tension between Max Weber's rational-legal bureaucracy and the subsequent human relations school. Understanding this conceptual tension clarifies modern matrix and agile organizational designs.

Organizational Dimension	Max Weber's Bureaucratic Ideal Type	The Human Relations Paradigm
View of Human Nature	Instrumental and rational; human emotion is an erratic disturbance that must be eliminated through formal procedural depersonalization.	Social and emotional; human sentiment, informal bonding, and psychological affirmation drive workplace commitment.
Authority Architecture	Legal-rational authority tied strictly to official office tenure, formal job titles, and statutory documentation.	Informal leadership authority emerging spontaneously from interpersonal charisma, technical expertise, and peer trust.

Organizational Dimension	Max Weber's Bureaucratic Ideal Type	The Human Relations Paradigm
Control Mechanism	Rigid rulebooks, hierarchical supervision, standard operating procedures, and comprehensive written records.	Shared cultural values, informal group norms, peer encouragement, and participatory self-governance.
Communication Patterns	Vertical, top-down formal reporting through established scalar chains of command.	Multi-directional, informal "grapevine" communication channels operating across functional boundaries.
Primary Organizational Dysfunction	Goal displacement (rules become ends in themselves), trained incapacity, red tape, and alienation of frontline workers.	Potential groupthink, informal resistance to technological modernization, and sacrifice of task standards for social harmony.

10. Ethical Governance and Human Rights in the Workplace

Modern organisational behaviour places ethical leadership and employee dignity at the core of enterprise sustainability. Managers must navigate complex ethical frontiers:

1. **Digital Workplace Surveillance and Privacy:** Balancing corporate interests in asset protection and cybersecurity with employee fundamental rights to personal privacy. Excessive keystroke logging, biometric tracking, and covert webcam monitoring erode organizational trust, foster chronic anxiety, and trigger voluntary turnover among top performers.
2. **Whistleblower Protection and Psychological Safety:** Establishing transparent, protected institutional channels (such as independent ombudsman offices and anonymous reporting hotlines) that enable employees to report fraud, harassment, or safety violations without fear of career retaliation.
3. **Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Governance:** Dismantling institutional "glass ceilings" that restrict female and minority advancement into executive boardrooms, ensuring equitable pay transparency, and accommodating neurodivergent talent through flexible job design.

11. Chester Barnard's Cooperative System and Acceptance Theory of Authority

Chester Barnard, in his seminal work *The Functions of the Executive* (1938), bridged classical structuralism and modern human relations by conceptualizing an enterprise as a **Cooperative Social System**. Barnard posited that an organization comes into being only when three conditions are met: (1) Individuals are willing to contribute action (willingness to cooperate); (2) Individuals share a common collective purpose; and (3) Effective communication systems link organizational members together.

The Acceptance Theory of Authority and the Zone of Indifference

Barnard radically challenged classical notions of top-down authority, arguing that **authority does not reside in the executive issuing an order, but in the subordinate who decides whether to accept or reject that order**. An employee will accept a managerial directive as authoritative only when four subjective criteria are satisfied:

- The employee can clearly comprehend the communication and understand what specific action is requested.
- At the moment of decision, the employee believes the directive is consistent with the overarching purpose and mission of the organization.
- The employee believes the directive is compatible with their own personal interests, ethical values, and physical capabilities.
- The employee is mentally and physically capable of complying with the directive.

Barnard conceptualized the **Zone of Indifference** as the behavioral territory within which an employee will accept orders unconditionally without questioning managerial authority. The strategic mandate of an executive is to widen each subordinate's zone of indifference by building interpersonal trust, offering meaningful inducements (satisfying psychological and economic needs), and maintaining transparent communication.

12. Multigenerational Workplace Cohorts and Behavioral Evolution

Modern enterprises manage four distinct generational cohorts simultaneously, each possessing distinct vocational values, communication styles, and workplace expectations:

1. **Baby Boomers (1946–1964):** Value organizational loyalty, structured hierarchical progression, in-person meetings, and formal recognition of seniority.
2. **Generation X (1965–1980):** Independent, pragmatic, skeptical of institutional authority; pioneered corporate work-life balance and autonomous project ownership.
3. **Millennials / Gen Y (1981–1996):** Tech-savvy, purpose-driven, collaborative; value continuous developmental feedback, corporate social responsibility, and horizontal teamwork.
4. **Generation Z (1997–2012):** Digital natives; prioritize workplace mental health, transparent salary parity, rapid entrepreneurial growth, and hybrid vocational flexibility.

13. From the Hawthorne Effect to Psychological Safety (Amy Edmondson)

The core insight of the Hawthorne Studies—that human social perception and interpersonal respect dictate operational productivity far more than physical machinery—finds its contemporary evolution in Harvard professor Amy Edmondson's construct of **Team Psychological Safety**. Edmondson defines psychological safety as a shared belief held by members of a workgroup that the team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking.

In psychologically safe corporate work environments, team members feel confident that no one will embarrass, reject, punish, or marginalize them for speaking up with an unconventional idea, asking

clarifying questions, acknowledging personal errors, or challenging supervisory assumptions. Google's landmark internal study, *Project Aristotle* (2015), rigorously investigated hundreds of high-performing engineering and sales teams and concluded that psychological safety was the single most foundational prerequisite distinguishing star teams from struggling units.

Workplace Dynamic	Low Psychological Safety Culture	High Psychological Safety Culture
Handling Errors	Failures are concealed or scapegoated; workers live in chronic fear of reprimand.	Errors are treated openly as valuable diagnostic learning data; blameless post-mortems.
Willingness to Experiment	Employees adhere rigidly to narrow procedures; creative initiative is stifled.	Calculated risk-taking and rapid prototyping are actively celebrated and rewarded.
Voicing Dissent	Subordinates silently nod in agreement with executives, triggering catastrophic groupthink.	Constructive intellectual conflict is welcomed; team members freely challenge consensus.
Help-Seeking Behavior	Asking for assistance is perceived as a humiliating admission of technical incompetence.	Cross-functional help-seeking is encouraged, accelerating tacit knowledge transfer.

DegreeLive