DegreeLive

BBA	SEMESTER III	UNIVERSITY OF KERALA	COURSE CODE: UK3DSCMGT203

BUSINESS LAWS

EXAM REVISION NOTES

JOIN WHATSAPP CHANNEL →

MODULE I

INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEMS AND CORPORATE LEGAL STRUCTURES

Foundations and Architecture of Indian Legal System: The Indian legal framework operates as an integrated common law judicial structure anchored in the Constitution of India. The Supreme Court of India exercises plenary jurisdiction comprising original jurisdiction under Article 131 for federal intergovernmental disputes, extraordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 32 for fundamental rights enforcement, appellate jurisdiction under Articles 132 to 134 across civil, criminal, and constitutional realms, and advisory jurisdiction under Article 143. State High Courts exercise constitutional authority under Article 226 issuing prerogative writs for both fundamental and statutory legal rights, supplemented by administrative superintendence over subordinate judiciary under Article 227. Subordinate civil hierarchy encompasses District Courts, Courts of Civil Judge Senior Division, and Courts of Civil Judge Junior Division, while criminal administration is conducted by Sessions Courts and Judicial Magistrates.

Primary and Secondary Sources of Indian Law: Primary sources of Indian jurisprudence include: **Statutes and Legislation:** Formal enactments passed by Union Parliament and State Legislatures forming supreme written law; **Judicial Precedents:** Binding judicial pronouncements under Article 141 embodying the doctrine of stare decisis where the ratio decidendi creates authoritative legal rule; **Customs and Usages:** Long-standing social practices possessing immemorial antiquity, continuity, certainty, reasonableness, and morality; **Personal Laws:** Religious codes governing marriage, succession, and family affairs. Secondary sources comprise principles of justice, equity, and good conscience, alongside persuasive English common law doctrines adapted to the Indian social milieu.

Substantive Law, Procedural Law, and Doctrine of Precedent: Substantive Law: Legal enactments that create, define, and regulate statutory rights, duties, and liabilities of citizens and corporations (such as the Indian Contract Act 1872 and the Companies Act 2013). **Procedural Law:** Statutes governing the procedural machinery, filing mechanisms, and evidential processes through which substantive rights are legally enforced in courts (such as the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 and the Indian Evidence Act 1872). **Ratio Decidendi:** The essential legal reason, rationale, or principle forming the necessary foundation of a judicial ruling, which alone possesses binding command upon subordinate courts. **Obiter Dicta:** Observations, collateral reflections, or illustrations made by a judge in passing, carrying high persuasive value but lacking binding command under Article 141.

Comparative Spectrum of Business Organizations: Commercial organizational formats represent distinct balances between operational flexibility and investor liability protection: **Sole Proprietorship:** An enterprise owned, managed, and controlled by a single individual who enjoys entire profits but shoulders absolute, unlimited personal liability for commercial obligations. **General Partnership:** Governed by the Indian Partnership Act 1932, requiring mutual agency wherein each partner acts as both principal and agent for all co-partners, accompanied by joint and several unlimited liability. **Limited Liability Partnership (LLP):** Governed by the LLP Act 2008, combining internal partnership managerial autonomy with corporate body status, perpetual succession, and liability strictly capped to agreed capital contributions. **One Person Company (OPC):** A corporate entity authorized under the Companies Act 2013 allowing a single natural Indian resident member to operate a private corporate body with limited liability and separate legal personality. **Private and Public Limited Companies:** Full-fledged corporate institutions with ownership divided into transferable equity shares, separation of ownership from management, and strict statutory disclosure compliances.

Doctrines of Corporate Personality and Veil Piercing: A registered company is an artificial legal person distinct from its constituent shareholders and directors (Salomon v. Salomon & Co. Ltd.), capable of owning property, executing contracts, and maintaining perpetual succession. However, courts and statutes pierce or lift the corporate veil when corporate structure is utilized as an instrument for tax evasion (Sir Dinshaw Maneckjee Petit), fraudulent avoidance of employee welfare benefits (Associated Rubber Industry Ltd.), or circumventing non-compete covenants (Gilford Motor Co. v. Horne). Statutory piercing occurs under the Companies Act 2013 for prospectus misstatements under Section 35, failure to refund application funds under Section 39, and fraudulent business conduct during winding up under Section 339.

Corporate Constitutional Framework and Ultra Vires: A company's constitutional documents comprise the Memorandum of Association (MOA) and Articles of Association (AOA). The MOA defines the fundamental scope of enterprise activity through its Name Clause, Registered Office Clause, Object Clause, Liability Clause, and Capital Clause. The Doctrine of Ultra Vires dictates that any transaction beyond the scope of objects enumerated in the MOA is ultra vires and void ab initio, incapable of ratification even by unanimous shareholder approval (Ashbury Railway Carriage Co. v. Riche). The Doctrine of Constructive Notice presumes that outsiders dealing with a company have read its registered constitutional documents. Conversely, the Doctrine of Indoor Management (Turquand's Rule) protects external parties by presuming internal procedures and authorizations have been regularly observed, except in instances of actual irregularity knowledge, suspicious circumstances, or forged instruments (Ruben v. Great Fingall Consolidated).

MODULE II

LAW OF CONTRACTS: FORMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

Essential Elements of a Valid Contract (Section 10): Under the Indian Contract Act 1872, an enforceable contract is an agreement enforceable by law. Section 10 mandates that all agreements are contracts if made by the free consent of parties competent to contract, for a lawful consideration, with a lawful object, and not expressly declared void by statute. Essential prerequisites comprise: **Plurality of Parties:** Minimum two distinct legal persons; **Offer and Unqualified Acceptance:** Consensus ad idem indicating meeting of minds on identical terms; **Legal Intention:** Intention to create enforceable legal obligations rather than domestic or social agreements (Balfour v. Balfour); **Lawful Consideration:** Real economic value moving at the promisor's desire; **Contractual Capacity:** Legal age of majority and sound mental faculties; **Free Consent:** Absence of vitiating factors; **Definiteness and Feasibility:** Certainty of contractual terms and physical possibility of performance.

Rules of Offer, Acceptance, and Consideration: An offer signifies willingness to do or abstain with a view to obtaining the other party's assent, distinct from an invitation to offer (price displays or catalogues). Acceptance must be absolute, unconditional, and communicated in the prescribed mode; counter-offers extinguish original proposals (Hyde v. Wrench). Consideration under Section 2(d) requires an act or promise moving at the promisor's desire. Consideration may move from a third party (Chinnaya v. Ramayya), establishing that privity of consideration is valid in India. However, the Doctrine of Privity of Contract dictates that only contracting parties may sue to enforce promises (Dunlop v. Selfridge), subject to exceptions for trust beneficiaries, family settlements, and equitable estoppel. While an agreement without consideration is void under Section 25, exceptions apply for registered agreements made out of natural love and affection between close relations, promises to compensate past voluntary services, and written signed promises to pay limitation-barred debts.

Contractual Incapacity and Vitiating Elements of Consent: Section 11 renders minors, persons of unsound mind, and persons disqualified by statute incompetent to contract. A contract with a minor is void ab initio (Mohori Bibee v. Dharmodas Ghose); the doctrine of estoppel cannot validate it, and minors are not personally liable for debts, though their estate remains liable for necessaries supplied under Section 68. Free consent under Section 14 is vitiated by: **Coercion (Section 15):** Committing or threatening to commit acts forbidden by the Indian Penal Code or unlawfully detaining property to compel consent. **Undue Influence (Section 16):** Dominating the will of another due to fiduciary or authoritative relationships to obtain unfair advantage, shifting the evidentiary burden of proof to the dominant party. **Fraud (Section 17):** Intentional misrepresentation, active concealment of facts, or making promises without performance intention to deceive. **Misrepresentation (Section 18):** Innocent, unwarranted assertion of untrue facts without fraudulent intent. **Mistake (Sections 20 to 22):** Bilateral mistake regarding essential facts renders agreements wholly void, whereas unilateral mistake leaves agreements valid unless touching identity or contract character.

Quasi-Contracts (Sections 68 to 72): Legal obligations imposed by statute based on the principle of preventing unjust enrichment: **Supply of Necessaries (Section 68):** Reimbursing suppliers of necessaries suited to a minor's condition in life out of the minor's estate; **Payment by Interested Person (Section 69):** Reimbursement for discharging lawful debts of another to protect personal interest; **Obligation for Non-Gratuitous Acts (Section 70):** Obligation to compensate a person who lawfully conferred non-gratuitous benefits; **Responsibility of Finder of Goods (Section 71):** Custodial obligations identical to a bailee; **Money Paid Under Mistake or Coercion (Section 72):** Strict liability to repay funds received mistakenly or under duress.

Discharge of Contract and Legal Remedies for Breach: Contracts are discharged through: **Actual or Attempted Performance:** Tender of performance fulfilling contractual covenants; **Mutual Agreement:** Novation, rescission, alteration, or remission under Sections 62 and 63; **Impossibility and Frustration (Section 56):** Post-formation objective impossibility destroying the commercial foundation (Satyabrata Ghose v. Mugneeram Bangur); **Lapse of Time:** Expiry of statutory limitation periods; **Operation of Law:** Merger, insolvency, or unauthorized material alteration; **Breach of Contract:** Actual or anticipatory repudiation. Legal remedies for breach include: **Rescission:** Cancellation releasing the injured party; **Damages:** Ordinary and special damages assessed under the rule of Hadley v. Baxendale (Section 73), excluding indirect losses; **Quantum Meruit:** Restitutionary payment for work done; **Specific Performance and Injunction:** Equitable remedies compelling precise performance or restraining contractual violation under the Specific Relief Act 1963.

MODULE III

SPECIAL CONTRACTS: BAILMENT AND PLEDGE

Conceptual Framework and Anatomy of Bailment: Bailment under Chapter IX of the Indian Contract Act 1872 is the delivery of movable goods by one person (bailor) to another (bailee) for an agreed purpose, upon contract that goods shall, upon accomplishment, be returned in specie or disposed of per bailor's directions (Section 148). Delivery may be actual (physical handover) or constructive (symbolic transfer of warehouse keys or delivery orders). Crucially, bailment transfers temporary possession without transferring ownership; depositing money into a general bank account creates a creditor-debtor relation rather than bailment, whereas placing valuables in a bank safe deposit locker establishes custodial bailment (Amitabha Dasgupta v. United Bank of India).

Rights and Statutory Obligations of Bailor and Bailee: Bailee's Standard of Care (Section 151): The bailee is bound to exercise standard care equal to that of an ordinary prudent person over their own goods of identical bulk, quality, and value. Compliance relieves the bailee of liability for accidental loss under Section 152. **Commingling Rules (Sections 155 to 157):** Mixing goods with consent creates proportional co-ownership. Mixing without consent where goods are separable obligates the bailee to bear separation expenses; where goods are inseparable, the bailee must compensate the bailor for total loss. **Bailor's Disclosure Duty (Section 150):** In gratuitous bailment, the bailor must disclose known defects; in bailment for reward, the bailor is liable for all defects whether aware or unaware. **Bailee's Right of Lien:** A particular lien under Section 170 entitles a bailee who expended labor and skill upon goods to retain possession until remunerated. A general lien under Section 171 allows privileged bailees (bankers, factors, wharfingers, High Court attorneys, policy brokers) to retain any bailed goods for a general balance of account.

Modes of Termination of Bailment: A bailment contract terminates under six statutory circumstances: **Expiry of Specified Period:** Upon conclusion of the agreed rental or storage term; **Accomplishment of Purpose:** When the specific task or repair has been fully executed; **Unauthorized Use by Bailee (Section 153):** Any use inconsistent with contractual bailment conditions renders the contract voidable at the bailor's option; **Destruction of Subject Matter:** Complete physical destruction or fundamental change in the nature of bailed goods; **Gratuitous Bailment Revocation (Section 159):** A gratuitous lender may demand return of goods at any time, subject to indemnifying the bailee for net losses if premature return causes damage; **Death of Either Party (Section 162):** A gratuitous bailment is terminated automatically by the death of either the bailor or the bailee.

Legal Status and Rights of Finder of Lost Goods: Under Section 71, a person finding lost goods assumes the statutory responsibilities of a bailee under Section 151. The finder possesses good title against the entire world except the true owner (Armory v. Delamirie). Rights include: **Right of Retention (Section 168):** Retaining goods against the true owner until compensated for reasonable preservation expenses; **Right to Sue for Reward:** Enforcing payment of specific advertised rewards; **Statutory Right of Sale (Section 169):** Selling found goods if the property is perishable or when accrued preservation charges equal two-thirds of the goods' total market value.

Pledge (Pawn) and Pledges by Non-Owners: Pledge under Section 172 is a specialized bailment wherein movable goods are delivered to a creditor as security for payment of a debt or performance of a promise. The bailor is the pawnor and bailee is the pawnee. The pawnee holds the right to retain goods for debt, interest, and preservation expenses (Sections 173 and 174), and upon pawnor's default, may sue the pawnor while retaining goods or sell goods upon giving reasonable notice of sale under Section 176. While general legal doctrine dictates nemo dat quod non habet, valid pledges may be created by non-owners under recognized statutory exceptions: **Pledge by Mercantile Agent (Section 178):** Acting in ordinary course of business with owner's consent; **Pledge by Person in Possession Under Voidable Contract (Section 178A):** Prior to contract rescission; **Pledge by Person with Limited Interest (Section 179):** Valid to the extent of that specific legal interest.

MODULE IV

LAW OF INDEMNITY AND GUARANTEE

Contract of Indemnity and Commencement of Liability: Under Section 124 of the Indian Contract Act 1872, a contract of indemnity is a contract whereby one party (indemnifier) promises to save the other (indemnity holder) from loss caused to him by the conduct of the promisor or any other person. Indian law restricts indemnity to losses caused by human agency, treating accidental perils (marine and fire insurance) as contingent contracts under Section 31. Under Section 125, the indemnity holder acting within authority is entitled to recover all damages, litigation costs, and compromised sums reasonably incurred. Crucially, in Gajanan Moreshwar v. Moreshwar Madan, the Bombay High Court established the equitable principle that the indemnifier's liability commences the moment the indemnity holder incurs an absolute, enforceable legal liability, without requiring prior out-of-pocket payment.

Tripartite Anatomy and Nature of Guarantee: A contract of guarantee under Section 126 involves three parties: Principal Debtor (borrower), Creditor (lender), and Surety (guarantor). It embodies three concurrent agreements: the primary debt obligation, the collateral guarantee promise, and an implied indemnity from the debtor to the surety. Under Section 128, the liability of the surety is co-extensive with that of the principal debtor unless otherwise agreed. The creditor is not required to exhaust legal remedies or sue the principal debtor first before proceeding against the surety; upon default, surety liability becomes immediate and direct (Bank of Bihar v. Damodar Prasad).

Classification of Guarantees and Revocation: Specific Guarantee: A guarantee extended to a single discrete transaction or debt, terminating upon discharge of that particular obligation. **Continuing Guarantee (Section 129):** A guarantee extending to a series of successive commercial transactions over time. **Modes of Revocation:** A continuing guarantee may be revoked by the surety as to future transactions by notice to the creditor (Section 130), or automatically by the death of the surety as to future transactions in the absence of contrary contract (Section 131). Past completed transactions remain binding upon the deceased surety's estate.

Surety's Comprehensive Rights Across Three Dimensions: Rights Against Principal Debtor: Right of Subrogation (Section 140): Upon discharging the debt, the surety steps directly into the creditor's shoes, acquiring all rights and securities available to the creditor; **Right to Indemnity (Section 145):** Implied promise by the debtor to reimburse all sums rightfully paid by the surety. **Rights Against Creditor: Right to Securities (Section 141):** Entitlement to all securities held by the creditor against the debtor at the time of guarantee, whether known to the surety or not; unauthorized loss of securities discharges the surety pro tanto. **Rights Against Co-Sureties: Doctrine of Contribution:** Under Section 146, co-sureties are liable to contribute equally to the unpaid debt. Under Section 147, co-sureties bound in different limits must pay equally up to their respective agreed liability ceilings.

Discharge and Release of Surety: Because equity treats the surety as a favored debtor, suretyship liability terminates under specific statutory conditions: **Revocation:** Revoking continuing guarantees by notice (Section 130) or automatically upon surety's death regarding future transactions (Section 131); **Variance in Terms (Section 133):** Any unauthorized alteration between creditor and debtor discharges the surety for subsequent transactions; **Release of Debtor (Section 134):** Any contract or omission discharging the principal debtor releases the surety; **Compounding or Giving Time (Section 135):** Contractual extensions or non-suing agreements without surety consent discharge the surety; **Impairing Eventual Remedies (Section 139):** Any creditor act inconsistent with surety rights or failure of duty impairing subrogation remedies terminates surety liability.

MODULE V

THE SALE OF GOODS ACT 1930

Foundations and Scope of Contract of Sale: Governed by the Sale of Goods Act 1930, a contract of sale of goods is a contract whereby the seller transfers or agrees to transfer property in goods to the buyer for a money price (Section 4). Essential elements comprise: two distinct commercial parties, movable property as subject matter, monetary consideration (price), and transfer of general property. Section 4 distinguishes a Sale (executed contract transferring ownership immediately, creating a right in rem with risk passing to buyer) from an Agreement to Sell (executory contract where property transfers at a future date, creating a right in personam with risk remaining with seller). Goods under Section 2(7) encompass all movable property excluding actionable claims and money, classified into: **Existing Goods:** Owned or possessed by seller (specific or unascertained); **Future Goods:** To be manufactured or acquired post-contract; **Contingent Goods:** Acquisition contingent upon uncertain events.

Conditions, Warranties, and Doctrine of Caveat Emptor: Contractual stipulations under Section 12 are divided into: **Condition:** A stipulation essential to the main purpose of the contract, breach of which gives the aggrieved party the right to repudiate the contract, reject goods, and claim damages; **Warranty:** A collateral stipulation, breach of which gives rise only to a claim for damages without right to reject goods. Implied statutory conditions under Sections 14 to 17 comprise: **Title (Section 14(a)):** Implied right to sell goods (Rowland v. Divall); **Description (Section 15):** Bulk must match contractual description (Moore v. Landauer); **Fitness for Purpose (Section 16(1)):** Goods must suit buyer's communicated purpose when relying on seller's skill (Grant v. Australian Knitting Mills); **Merchantable Quality (Section 16(2)):** Commercially resaleable under trade description; **Sample (Section 17):** Bulk corresponds with sample and free from latent defects. **Implied Warranties:** Quiet possession (Section 14(b)) and freedom from non-disclosed encumbrances (Section 14(c)). **Caveat Emptor:** The common law maxim "let the buyer beware" is subject to statutory exceptions where buyer relies on seller's skill, goods are bought by description from regular dealers, or consent is obtained through fraudulent concealment.

Passing of Property, Risk, and Nemo Dat Exceptions: Transfer of property (ownership) determines when risk passes under the maxim res perit domino (Section 26). Property in specific goods in deliverable state passes upon contract formation (Section 20). If seller must do something to put goods in deliverable state or ascertain price, property passes only after completion and buyer notification (Sections 21 and 22). In unascertained goods, property passes upon unconditional appropriation with mutual assent (Section 23). Under Section 27, the rule nemo dat quod non habet dictates that no one can transfer better title than they possess. Recognized statutory exceptions protect bona fide buyers: **Mercantile Agent:** Possessing goods with owner's consent and selling in ordinary course of business; **Joint Owner:** In sole possession with co-owner consent (Section 28); **Voidable Contract:** Sale by possessor before contract rescission (Section 29); **Seller or Buyer in Continued Possession:** Disposing of goods to bona fide purchasers without notice (Section 30); **Unpaid Seller Resale:** Reselling under Section 54.

Statutory Rules of Delivery and Auction Sales: Rules of Delivery: Delivery may be actual, symbolic, or constructive. Under Section 37, delivery of lesser quantity permits total rejection or payment at contract rate; delivery of larger quantity permits accepting contracted volume or whole consignment; mixing different goods permits accepting contracted goods or rejecting entire delivery. **Auction Sales (Section 64):** Complete upon fall of hammer or customary announcement; bids may be retracted prior to hammer fall; reserve price must be formally notified; prohibited seller puffing renders auctions voidable at buyer's option.

Rights and Remedies of the Unpaid Seller: An unpaid seller under Section 45 has not received full price or holds dishonored negotiable instruments. Real remedies against the goods comprise: **Right of Lien (Sections 47 to 49):** Retaining physical possession until price payment where goods sold without credit or buyer insolvent; **Right of Stoppage in Transit (Sections 50 to 52):** Resuming constructive possession from carrier while goods are in transit upon buyer insolvency; **Right of Resale (Section 54):** Reselling perishable goods immediately, or non-perishable goods after giving reasonable notice to pay. Personal remedies against the buyer comprise: **Suit for Price (Section 55):** Enforcing payment where property passed; **Suit for Damages for Non-Acceptance (Section 56):** Recovering market price difference under Section 73; **Suit for Interest (Section 61):** Enforcing statutory interest on unpaid price.

International Sales Terms and Statutory Risk Alignment: In cross-border sales of goods, international commercial terms (Incoterms) clarify the exact transfer of property and risk: **Free on Board (FOB):** The seller delivers goods past the ship's rail at the designated port; property and maritime transit risk pass immediately to the buyer upon shipment. **Cost, Insurance, and Freight (CIF):** The seller procures marine insurance and ocean freight, but risk passes to the buyer upon loading at the shipment port, while property passes upon endorsement and tender of shipping documents (bill of lading, insurance policy, and invoice). **Ex-Works (EXW):** The buyer assumes total transport cost and transit risk directly from the seller's factory warehouse gates.

Consumer Protection and Product Liability Interface: While the Sale of Goods Act 1930 governs commercial transactions between merchants, consumer transactions are reinforced by the Consumer Protection Act 2019. Under Section 2(34) of the CPA 2019, **Product Liability** imposes strict statutory liability upon product manufacturers, sellers, and service providers for harm caused by defective products, manufacturing flaws, design errors, or inadequate usage warnings. Consumers are entitled to seek redress before District, State, and National Consumer Commissions without paying excessive court fees, ensuring that the classical doctrine of caveat emptor does not leave consumers vulnerable to deceptive or unsafe trade practices.

DegreeLive