DegreeLive

BBA | Semester III | UK3DSCMGT201

Human Resources Management

Course Code: UK3DSCMGT201 • Module 1 Notes

Module 1: Fundamentals of Human Resource Management

Course: Human Resources Management (UK3DSCMGT201) • Semester III • BBA FYUGP Kerala University

1. Introduction to Human Resource Management (HRM)

Human Resource Management (HRM) represents the strategic, integrated, and coherent approach to the employment, development, and well-being of the people working in organizations. In contemporary corporate administration and organizational science, human resources are universally acknowledged not merely as operational expenses or passive factors of production, but as the primary source of sustained competitive advantage. While physical infrastructure, technological hardware, raw materials, and financial capital can be readily purchased, cloned, or commoditized by competing enterprises in global markets, the collective intellect, tacit knowledge, behavioral adaptability, cultural cohesion, and problem-solving creativity of an organization's human workforce remain inimitable and socially complex.

From an authoritative academic perspective, Michael Armstrong defines Human Resource Management as a strategic, integrated and coherent approach to the employment, development and well-being of the people working in organisations. It can be regarded as a philosophy about how people should be managed, which is underpinned by a number of theories relating to organizational behavior, strategy, and human motivation. Edwin B. Flippo provides the classic operational definition that has anchored business pedagogy for decades: human resource management is the planning, organizing, directing, and controlling of the procurement, development, compensation, integration, maintenance, and separation of human resources to the end that individual, organizational, and societal objectives are accomplished. These definitions collectively establish that modern HRM balances structural managerial governance with the psychological aspirations, personal dignity, and legal rights of individual employees.

Core Characteristics and Salient Features of HRM

To grasp the comprehensive nature of HRM, students must examine its defining structural and behavioral attributes:

- **Pervasive Management Function:** HRM is not confined within the physical boundaries of the human resources department. It permeates every managerial echelon, department, branch, and functional division. Every line manager, supervisor, and department head—whether in manufacturing, finance, research and development, or marketing—acts as a de facto human resource manager when directing, evaluating, and motivating subordinates.
- **People-Centric Orientation:** Unlike financial management which oversees monetary balances, or operations management which oversees physical materials and machine utilization, HRM focuses entirely on human beings as dynamic individuals and social groups possessing diverse emotional, psychological, and intellectual needs.

Degreelive

- **Action-Oriented Philosophy:** Rather than relying solely on rigid rulebooks, standard operating procedures, and passive bureaucratic records, modern HRM emphasizes immediate problem-solving, active behavioral coaching, conflict de-escalation, and agile talent deployment.
- **Continuous and Dynamic Process:** Human resource management is never an isolated, one-time transactional intervention. It begins before an individual joins the enterprise through employer branding and talent pipelining, persists continuously throughout their operational career via coaching and performance appraisal, and extends beyond separation through pension governance and alumni networks.
- **Future-Oriented and Proactive:** Strategic HRM anticipates future organizational skill requirements, demographic retirements, competitive talent poaching, and technological disruption (such as artificial intelligence and automation), formulating proactive interventions rather than reacting to acute labor deficits.
- **Comprehensive Integrating Mechanism:** HRM bridges individual employee aspirations (such as career mobility, fair pay, and psychological safety) with overarching corporate strategic goals (such as profitability, market share growth, and brand equity), fostering goal congruence across all organizational tiers.

Objectives of Human Resource Management

The strategic objectives of HRM are traditionally categorized into four interconnected hierarchical dimensions:

1. **Organizational Objectives:** Recognizing that HRM exists to serve organizational effectiveness. It ensures that the enterprise possesses the optimal quantity and quality of human talent, deploys them efficiently, provides continuous development, and optimizes labor productivity to secure organizational survival and profitability.
2. **Functional Objectives:** Maintaining the department's contribution at a level appropriate to the organization's needs. Resources are wasted when HRM is either too sophisticated or too unrefined for the enterprise's operational scale. The HR unit must tailor its recruitment channels, testing protocols, and training budgets to the realistic requirements of line operations.
3. **Personal and Employee Objectives:** Assisting employees in achieving their personal career goals, insofar as these goals enhance the individual's contribution to the enterprise. Personal objectives—including equitable compensation, professional development, job security, recognition, and work-life balance—must be met if workers are to be retained, motivated, and engaged.
4. **Societal and Ethical Objectives:** Ensuring that the organization acts ethically and responsibly relative to societal norms, cultural expectations, and statutory labor legislation. This encompasses equal employment opportunity, affirmative action, prevention of workplace harassment, environmental safety compliance, fair living wages, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives.

Degreelive

2. The Strategic Significance and Scope of HRM

In modern managerial economics, the significance of HRM is grounded in the **Resource-Based View (RBV) of the Firm**, pioneered by Jay Barney. Barney argued that sustained competitive advantage cannot be achieved through generic, easily substitutable resources. A firm secures sustainable advantage only when it controls resources that exhibit four fundamental criteria, known as the **VRIN Framework**:

- **Valuable (V):** Human resources must exploit environmental opportunities or neutralize competitive threats, driving customer value and operational efficiency.
- **Rare (R):** Highly skilled, creative, and motivated employees possessing specialized domain expertise are scarce in the external labor market.
- **Inimitable (I):** The social architecture, informal networks, mutual trust, and specialized organizational culture developed by a firm's workforce cannot be easily deciphered or replicated by competitors (path dependency and causal ambiguity).
- **Non-Substitutable (N):** There are no readily available technological or mechanical equivalents that can substitute for top-tier strategic leadership, intuitive innovation, and empathetic customer relationship management.

The Three Functional Dimensions of the Scope of HRM

The operational scope of HRM encompasses the entire spectrum of interactions between workers and the enterprise, classified into three major operational dimensions:

Operational Dimension	Core Focus and Primary Objectives	Representative Functions and Interventions
1. Personnel Aspect (Manpower Management)	Direct acquisition, procurement, utilization, compensation, and separation of employees to meet operational targets.	Workforce planning, recruitment sourcing, selection testing, structured interviewing, onboarding, job analysis, wage administration, promotion ladders, transfers, and performance evaluation.
2. Welfare Aspect (Quality of Work Life)	Fostering physical, psychological, and social well-being; providing conducive workplace conditions and statutory amenities.	Occupational safety protocols, ergonomic seating, canteen services, creche facilities, medical clinics, housing assistance, recreational programs, mental health counseling, and education allowances.
3. Industrial Relations Aspect (Workplace Harmony)	Managing institutional relationships between organized labor (trade unions), management, and government bodies.	Union recognition, collective bargaining negotiations, joint management councils, grievance procedures, domestic enquiry administration, conciliation, and labor law compliance.

3. Historical Evolution of Human Resource Management

The transition of human resource management from primitive, exploitative labor control to sophisticated human capital governance represents one of the most fascinating trajectories in industrial history. This evolution unfolded across several distinct chronological epochs, each responding to shifting economic, socio-cultural, and technological forces:

Epoch 1: The Industrial Revolution and Early Factory Systems (Late 18th to Mid-19th Century)

The emergence of steam power, mechanized textile mills, and centralized factory systems in Britain and Western Europe radically altered human labor. Workers moved from agrarian cottage industries to urban manufacturing centers. Work became mechanized, highly repetitive, and physically hazardous. Early industrialists treated labor strictly as a variable factor of production—an interchangeable commodity to be purchased at the lowest possible cost. Working conditions were abysmal: 14 to 16-hour workdays, rampant child labor, complete absence of safety guards, unhygienic environments, and immediate dismissal without compensation upon illness or injury. Workers possessed zero legal rights, and early attempts at collective association were harshly suppressed under combination laws.

Epoch 2: Industrial Philanthropy and the Welfare Officer Movement (Late 19th to Early 20th Century)

As social reform movements gathered momentum and worker unrest flared, humanitarian industrialists—most notably Robert Owen in Scotland, and the Cadbury and Rowntree families in England—demonstrated that providing clean working environments and treating workers humanely actually enhanced productivity. Owen introduced reduced working hours, prohibited child labor in his New Lanark cotton mills, established village schools, and provided subsidized housing. Concurrently, governments began enacting early factory legislation. To oversee hygiene, housing, healthcare, and factory act compliance, employers appointed *Welfare Secretaries* or *Welfare Officers*. These early welfare officers were predominantly female social workers charged with safeguarding young women and children on the factory floor, marking the initial institutional root of the personnel department.

Epoch 3: The Scientific Management Era (Early 20th Century)

In 1911, Frederick Winslow Taylor published his landmark treatise, *The Principles of Scientific Management*. Taylor sought to eliminate systematic soldiering (deliberate output restriction by workers) through rigorous scientific investigation. Scientific management introduced four core principles:

1. Developing a true science of work through precise time and motion studies to determine the "one best way" to execute each manual task.
2. Scientifically selecting, training, teaching, and developing the workman, rather than leaving them to choose their own trade and train themselves passively.
3. Heartily cooperating with the men so as to ensure all the work is done in accordance with the principles of the science which has been developed.

DegreeLive

4. An almost equal division of work and responsibility between management and workmen, with management handling planning and workers handling execution.

Taylor instituted functional foremanship, standardized tooling, and differential piece-rate incentive wage systems. While scientific management delivered unprecedented gains in shop-floor efficiency and industrial output, it attracted fierce criticism from trade unions and industrial psychologists for de-skilling labor, destroying worker autonomy, and treating human beings as mechanistic cogs in an industrial assembly line.

Epoch 4: The Human Relations Movement and the Hawthorne Studies (1924–1936)

The human relations movement represented a profound psychological revolt against the mechanical reductionism of scientific management. Between 1924 and 1932, Elton Mayo, Fritz Roethlisberger, and William J. Dickson conducted the famous **Hawthorne Studies** at the Western Electric Company's Hawthorne Works in Chicago. The investigation encompassed four major empirical phases:

- **The Illumination Experiments (1924–1927):** Researchers varied lighting intensity in factory test rooms to measure output effects. Unexpectedly, productivity rose both when illumination was increased and when it was reduced to near moonlight levels. In the control group with constant light, output also expanded. The researchers deduced that psychological variables, rather than physical illumination, were governing production.
- **The Relay Assembly Test Room (1927–1932):** Six female operators assembling telephone relays were isolated in a separate test room. Researchers introduced rest pauses, shortened workdays, free morning lunches, and modified wage systems. Regardless of whether privileges were introduced or subsequently withdrawn, production trended upward. The critical variable was the social atmosphere: the workers felt valued, enjoyed collaborative peer freedom, and developed high group cohesion under friendly, non-coercive supervision.
- **The Mass Interviewing Program (1928–1930):** Over 21,000 employees were interviewed regarding their attitudes. The findings revealed that workers' feelings, grievances, and perceptions were heavily colored by their social status, family history, and peer affiliations rather than objective factory conditions.
- **The Bank Wiring Observation Room (1931–1932):** In a study of fourteen male wiremen, researchers observed the spontaneous formation of a powerful *informal organization*. The group developed rigid unwritten behavioral norms: they established an informal production ceiling ("fair day's work"), sanctioning anyone who produced too fast as a "rate-buster," anyone who produced too slowly as a "chiseler," and anyone who reported infractions to management as a "squealer."

The Hawthorne Studies permanently demonstrated that an enterprise is a social system, that workers are motivated by social recognition and group belonging rather than pure economic gain (disproving Taylor's "Economic Man" assumption), and that informal group norms dictate actual shop-floor productivity.

Epoch 5: The Behavioral Science Era and Professional Personnel Management (1950s–1970s)

Following World War II, industrial psychology and organizational sociology expanded rapidly. Scholars developed sophisticated motivational and leadership paradigms:

- **Abraham Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs:** Proposing that human motivation ascends through physiological, safety, social, esteem, and self-actualization needs.
- **Douglas McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y:** Contrasting the authoritarian assumption that workers naturally dislike work (Theory X) with the humanistic belief that work is as natural as play and that employees seek responsibility under proper conditions (Theory Y).
- **Frederick Herzberg's Two-Factor Motivation-Hygiene Theory:** Demonstrating that job satisfaction is driven by intrinsic motivators (achievement, recognition, the work itself, responsibility, advancement), whereas extrinsic hygiene factors (company policy, supervision, salary, working conditions) merely prevent dissatisfaction.
- **Chris Argyris and Rensis Likert:** Highlighting the psychological conflict between rigid bureaucratic structures and healthy adult human personalities, advocating for participative management systems.

During this period, personnel departments became formal corporate fixtures, managing structured collective bargaining, job evaluation schemes, standardized salary grades, and employee benefit programs.

Epoch 6: Strategic Human Resource Management (1980s to the Present)

The 1980s witnessed macroeconomic globalization, aggressive deregulation, intense competition from Japanese manufacturing (with its emphasis on Total Quality Management, Kaizen, and Just-In-Time teamwork), and rapid technological innovation. In this volatile environment, traditional personnel administration—preoccupied with paperwork, administrative compliance, and reactive firefighting—proved utterly inadequate. Management thinkers recognized that workforce strategies must be tightly integrated with overarching corporate strategy. Thus, **Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM)** was born, positioning human capital professionals as strategic board-level partners responsible for cultivating organizational agility, innovation, and global competitive advantage.

4. Theoretical Approaches and Analytical Models of HRM

To analyze the mechanisms through which human resource policies influence organizational outcomes, scholars have developed multiple conceptual frameworks.

Comparative Analysis: Personnel Management Versus Human Resource Management

A central requirement in university curricula is distinguishing traditional Personnel Management from modern Human Resource Management across philosophical, strategic, and operational parameters:

Comparative Dimension	Traditional Personnel Management	Modern Human Resource Management
Basic Philosophy	Views labor as an input cost to be tightly controlled, monitored, and minimized.	Views employees as valuable human capital, creative assets, and sources of competitive advantage.

Comparative Dimension	Traditional Personnel Management	Modern Human Resource Management
Strategic Posture	Reactive, administrative, and short-term; responds to crises as they arise on the shop floor.	Proactive, strategic, and long-term; anticipates future talent needs and market disruptions.
Managerial Approach	Pluralist perspective: assumes natural conflict of interest between labor and management.	Unitarist perspective: assumes management and employees share common goals and mutual interests.
Psychological Contract	Compliance-oriented: demands adherence to contractual terms, formal rules, and procedures.	Commitment-oriented: cultivates intrinsic motivation, shared values, and high emotional engagement.
Locus of Control	External controls: specialized supervisors, rigid time-clocks, and detailed inspection.	Internal controls: employee self-discipline, autonomous work groups, and empowerment.
Organizational Role	Staff function: operates in an isolated administrative silo detached from corporate strategy.	Line function: fully integrated into top-tier strategic management and executive decision-making.
Speed of Decision Making	Slow, bureaucratic, heavily constrained by precedent and established legal procedures.	Fast, adaptable, driven by business necessity, customer requirements, and organizational agility.
Communication Channels	Indirect, formal, top-down, frequently mediated through trade union representatives.	Direct, transparent, multi-directional, utilizing digital platforms and open-door forums.

Hard Versus Soft Models of HRM: John Storey's Paradigm

John Storey formulated the classic dichotomy dividing HRM into two contrasting operational models:

The Hard Approach (Instrumental HRM): Emphasizes the quantitative, calculative, and business-strategic aspects of managing head-counts in the same rational way as any other economic factor of production. Rooted in scientific management and cost containment, hard HRM views employees instrumentally. The primary focus is on strict workforce planning, rigorous performance management, variable performance-linked pay, tight labor cost control, and strategic alignment where human resources are acquired, deployed, or downsized strictly to optimize the corporate balance sheet. Employee voice is minimal, and organizational flexibility is achieved through numeric headcount adjustments.

The Soft Approach (Developmental Humanism): Traces its philosophical lineage directly to the human relations movement and Douglas McGregor's Theory Y. Soft HRM emphasizes treating employees as valued partners who possess creative problem-solving potential. It focuses on winning the "hearts and minds" of the workforce through open communication, high-trust cultures, extensive employee empowerment, psychological safety, continuous training, job enrichment, and career

Degreelive

pathing. The underlying hypothesis is that high employee commitment leads directly to high employee competence, resulting in superior product quality, innovation, and organizational resilience.

Foundational Models of HRM

Four major theoretical models provide the structural framework for academic analysis:

1. The Michigan Model (Fombrun, Tichy, and Devanna, 1984)

Frequently termed the *Matching Model* or the definitive "hard" model, the Michigan framework asserts that HR systems and organizational structures must be configured to match and drive organizational strategy. The core of this model is the **Human Resource Cycle**, comprising four tightly linked generic processes that occur in all organizations:

- **Selection:** Matching available human capital competencies to specific job requirements.
- **Performance:** Measuring and evaluating actual operational outputs against strategic goals.
- **Appraisal:** Systematically assessing performance to drive accountability and feedback.
- **Rewards:** Distributing monetary and non-monetary incentives to reinforce high performance.
- **Development:** Cultivating requisite skills to bridge performance deficiencies and prepare for future demands.

The Michigan model emphasizes that performance is a function of all these components working in complete structural alignment with corporate strategy.

2. The Harvard Framework (Beer, Spector, Lawrence, Mills, and Walton, 1984)

In contrast to the Michigan model, the Harvard Framework adopts a broad stakeholder perspective, acknowledging that HRM policies cannot be dictated solely by shareholder profits. The framework comprises five interconnected structural elements:

1. **Stakeholder Interests:** Recognizing that shareholders, management, employee groups, trade unions, government, and local community have legitimate, competing interests that must be balanced.
2. **Situational Factors:** External variables (workforce characteristics, business strategy and condition, management philosophy, labor market, task technology, laws and societal values) that constrain and shape HR choices.
3. **HRM Policy Choices:** Four broad areas of strategic management choice:
    - *Employee Influence:* How much responsibility, authority, and voice is delegated to workers.
    - *Human Resource Flow:* Recruitment, selection, placement, promotion, retention, and termination policies.
    - *Reward Systems:* Pay systems, incentive structures, and non-monetary recognition mechanisms.
    - *Work Systems:* Designing work tasks, technology integration, and teamwork structures.
4. **HRM Outcomes (The Four Cs):** The immediate internal outputs generated by HR policy choices:

DegreeLive

- *Commitment:* Psychological loyalty, emotional engagement, and connection to the organization.
- *Competence:* The skills, knowledge, and versatile capabilities of the workforce.
- *Congruence:* Harmony and alignment of goals between management, employees, and community.
- *Cost-Effectiveness:* Managing labor costs and operating expenses relative to market benchmarks.

5. **Long-Term Consequences:** Individual well-being, sustained organizational effectiveness, and overall societal well-being.

3. The Warwick Model (Hendry and Pettigrew, 1990)

Developed at the Centre for Corporate Strategy and Change at the University of Warwick, this model extends the Harvard framework by mapping how HRM maps onto environmental change. It identifies five analytical elements: Outer Context (macro-environmental, political, economic, and socio-legal factors), Inner Context (organizational structure, corporate culture, leadership, and technology), Business Strategy Context (product market objectives, competitive strategy), HRM Context (HR structure, role, and output), and HRM Content (strategic HR policies and operational interventions).

5. Managerial and Operative Functions of HRM

The comprehensive execution of human resource management is systematically divided into two broad categories: Managerial Functions and Operative Functions.

Managerial Functions of HRM

Managerial functions represent the classical universal administrative processes through which HR executives govern the human capital department:

- **Planning:** Anticipating future organizational goals and determining the exact human capital roadmap required to achieve them. It involves assessing current workforce demographics, predicting employee retirements and turnover, studying labor market dynamics, and establishing recruitment schedules, training curricula, and staffing budgets.
- **Organizing:** Establishing the structural framework through which work is accomplished. This entails dividing complex departmental tasks into specific jobs, establishing reporting lines, creating clear organizational charts, delegating authority to subordinates, and defining inter-departmental workflows between HR and operating line units.
- **Directing / Leading:** Guiding, inspiring, and supervising the workforce toward desired organizational targets. Directing encompasses motivational leadership, maintaining open two-way communication channels, mentoring junior managers, and instilling corporate core values across all operational teams.
- **Controlling:** Establishing performance benchmarks, auditing HR activities, monitoring key metrics (such as voluntary turnover rates, cost-per-hire, time-to-fill, training ROI, and

DegreeLive

absenteeism rates), comparing actual achievements against planned standards, and initiating corrective actions when deviations occur.

Operative Functions of HRM

Operative functions comprise the specialized, technical activities unique to human resource administration, spanning the complete employee lifecycle:

1. **The Procurement Function:** Ensuring the organization possesses the right number of qualified personnel. It includes job analysis (job description and job specification), human resource planning, recruitment sourcing (internal and external), selection testing, employment interviews, reference verification, medical checks, and formal onboarding.
2. **The Development Function:** Enhancing the competencies and performance capabilities of the workforce. It encompasses training needs assessment, executing on-the-job and off-the-job training programs, executive development courses, career path planning, mentoring programs, and managing organizational development (OD) interventions.
3. **The Compensation Function:** Establishing fair, equitable, and motivating reward packages. It includes job evaluation to determine relative job worth, structuring base salary scales, designing wage incentive schemes (individual and group bonuses), administering profit sharing, managing statutory retirement funds (provident fund, gratuity), and providing non-monetary perks.
4. **The Integration Function:** Harmonizing employee personal aspirations with organizational strategic goals, creating a collaborative corporate climate. It includes employee communication, managing grievance redressal machinery, administering industrial discipline, facilitating collective bargaining with trade unions, and fostering workers' participation in management.
5. **The Maintenance Function:** Sustaining and preserving the physical, emotional, and social health of the workforce. It encompasses occupational health and safety engineering, maintaining clean factory environments, providing subsidized canteens, crèche facilities, recreational amenities, employee assistance programs (EAP), and executing retention strategies to curb talent flight.
6. **The Separation Function:** Managing the orderly departure of employees from the enterprise. It encompasses processing voluntary resignations, superannuation retirements, administering retrenchment and layoff compensation under statutory laws, executing Voluntary Retirement Schemes (VRS), conducting exit interviews, and managing alumni networks.

6. Strategic Role of HR in Modern Corporations

In the contemporary global knowledge economy, HRM has transitioned from a back-office administrative cost center into a primary engine of organizational strategy. To conceptualize this transformation, Dave Ulrich formulated the influential **HR Champion Model**, delineating four vital roles that contemporary HR professionals must simultaneously embody:

DegreeLive

HR Role (Ulrich Model)	Primary Orientation and Focus	Core Responsibilities and Deliverables
Strategic Partner	Strategic focus • Organizational processes	Aligning HR strategy directly with enterprise business strategy; participating in C-suite decision-making; designing organizational architectures that drive corporate agility.
Administrative Expert	Operational focus • Organizational processes	Re-engineering administrative workflows; leveraging cloud HRIS platforms to automate payroll and benefits; optimizing shared services for cost-efficiency.
Employee Champion	Operational focus • People • Daily needs	Listening to employee voice; safeguarding workplace psychological safety; building employee engagement; resolving grievances and championing fair treatment.
Change Agent	Strategic focus • People • Transformation	Spearheading cultural transformation; managing mergers and organizational restructuring; building institutional resilience and facilitating digital transformation.

Line Authority Versus Staff Authority of HR

In traditional management theory, a crucial distinction exists between line managers and staff specialists. **Line managers** possess direct executive authority to issue orders, direct operational tasks, and make final decisions within their operating departments (e.g., Production Manager, Sales Director). **HR managers** traditionally exercise **Staff Authority**—an advisory, consultative capacity where they support, guide, and advise line managers on personnel matters without commanding them directly. However, in contemporary practice, HR managers frequently exercise *Functional Authority* in critical statutory areas (e.g., enforcing anti-harassment policies, safety compliance, or wage law adherence) where their decisions are binding across all departments.

7. Contemporary HR Governance, Ethics, and Emerging Trends

Modern human resource management operates at the intersection of business strategy and social ethics. Corporate governance now demands that HR act as the moral conscience of the organization:

- **Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI):** Fostering inclusive work environments that embrace diverse genders, ethnicities, neurodivergent individuals, and physical abilities, which studies demonstrate drives higher innovation and problem-solving creativity.
- **Workplace Ethics and Prevention of Harassment:** Strictly enforcing zero-tolerance policies regarding discrimination, sexual harassment (such as compliance with the PoSH Act 2013 in India), and establishing robust whistleblower protection mechanisms.
- **Data Privacy and Digital Governance:** Protecting sensitive employee personal records, biometric data, and performance analytics under digital personal data protection frameworks, ensuring ethical use of AI screening algorithms.

Degree Live