DegreeLive

B.A. Sociology Honours | Semester 2 | SOC2MN103

Fundamentals of Social Psychology

Course Code: SOC2MN103 • Module 3 Notes

Module 3: PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION

Course: SOC2MN103 – Fundamentals of Social Psychology • **University of Calicut (CUFYUGP 2024)**

1. Conceptual Scope, Epistemic Frameworks & Curricular Foundations

The academic investigation of **Fundamentals of Social Psychology**, specifically regarding Module 3 (PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION), is anchored within the rigorous undergraduate curriculum of Calicut University under the CUFYUGP 2024 regulations. This module is structured around core thematic units designed to provide learners with both theoretical mastery and critical empirical awareness.

In contemporary sociological scholarship, society is understood not as a static aggregate of detached individuals, but as an emergent, dynamically organized matrix of structured relations, institutionalized practices, and cultural meanings. C. Wright Mills famously articulated that the primary purpose of the sociological imagination is to comprehend the intricate connections between individual biographies and macroscopic historical structures. Throughout this module, learners examine how institutional arrangements reproduce order, manage social contradictions, and condition individual agency.

2. Systematic Sociological Examination of Prejudice: Nature and definition of prejudice

The scholarly examination of **Prejudice: Nature and definition of prejudice** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

2.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

DegreeLive

2.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

2.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

3. Systematic Sociological Examination of Origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice

The scholarly examination of **Origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

3.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Degreelive

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

3.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

3.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

4. Systematic Sociological Examination of Stereotyping: Factors contribute to stereotyping

The scholarly examination of **Stereotyping: Factors contribute to stereotyping** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

DegreeLive

4.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

4.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

4.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

5. Systematic Sociological Examination of Consequences and importance of stereotyping

The scholarly examination of **Consequences and importance of stereotyping** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating consequences and importance of stereotyping, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

5.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning consequences and importance of stereotyping is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding consequences and importance of stereotyping reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

5.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of consequences and importance of stereotyping must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding consequences and importance of stereotyping therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

5.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of consequences and importance of stereotyping are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

Degree Live

6. Systematic Sociological Examination of Discrimination: Consequences of discrimination

The scholarly examination of **Discrimination: Consequences of discrimination** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating discrimination: consequences of discrimination, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

6.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning discrimination: consequences of discrimination is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding discrimination: consequences of discrimination reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

6.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of discrimination: consequences of discrimination must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding discrimination: consequences of discrimination therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

6.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of discrimination: consequences of discrimination are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

DegreeLive

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

7. Systematic Sociological Examination of Types of discrimination Baron, R. A., Byrne, D., & Bhardwaj, G. (2010). Social psychology (12th Ed.). New Delhi, India: Pearson Myers, D. G. (2008). Social psychology. New Delhi, India: Tata McGraw-Hill. Husain, A. (2012). Social psychology. New Delhi, India: Pearson

The scholarly examination of **Types of discrimination Baron, R. A., Byrne, D., & Bhardwaj, G. (2010). Social psychology (12th Ed.). New Delhi, India: Pearson Myers, D. G. (2008). Social psychology. New Delhi, India: Tata McGraw-Hill. Husain, A. (2012). Social psychology. New Delhi, India: Pearson** constitutes an indispensable analytical domain within *Fundamentals of Social Psychology*. In sociological epistemology, social phenomena are neither arbitrary behavioral aggregates nor biologically predetermined necessities; rather, they represent emergent structural configurations shaped by historical trajectories, institutional mechanisms, power relations, and normative consensus.

When interrogating types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson, sociologists examine the dynamic interplay between microscopic individual agency and macroscopic structural constraints. Every social institution or practice operates through established networks of socialization, sanctions, and structural incentives that reproduce stability while generating internal contradictions that drive progressive social transformation.

7.1. Theoretical Paradigms and Epistemological Frameworks

Contemporary sociological literature concerning types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson is characterized by profound theoretical plurality. The structural-functionalist tradition—derived from Emile Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, and Robert K. Merton—interrogates this domain through functional prerequisites, institutional integration, and equilibrium maintenance, distinguishing between manifest objectives and latent structural consequences.

Conversely, critical conflict paradigms and Marxist traditions—elaborated by Karl Marx, Antonio Gramsci, and contemporary critical theorists—unmask how institutional arrangements surrounding types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson reflect underlying relations of production, class exploitation, and ideological hegemony. Furthermore, feminist and subaltern perspectives illuminate how patriarchal structures, graded caste inequalities, and colonial legacies intersect to marginalise subordinate social groups within these institutional networks.

7.2. Institutional Mechanics and Empirical Realities

From an empirical perspective, the operational mechanics of types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson

DegreeLive

must be examined within concrete socio-historical contexts. In developing societies and particularly in the complex social matrix of contemporary India, modern statutory laws, market forces, and constitutional values coexist alongside enduring traditional hierarchies of caste, kinship endogamy, and community networks.

Empirical field studies emphasize that social transformation is neither linear nor uniform. While urbanization, digital technology, democratic decentralization, and state welfare policies create new avenues for upward social mobility and civic participation, traditional elites often adapt, maintaining institutional control through informal patronage networks and economic monopolies. Understanding types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson therefore requires rigorous empirical methodologies that combine quantitative demographic surveys with in-depth qualitative field ethnography.

7.3. Contemporary Policy Debates and Regional Applications

Within the context of Keralam and the wider Indian subcontinent, the practical implications of types of discrimination baron, r. a., byrne, d., & bhardwaj, g. (2010). social psychology (12th ed.). new delhi, india: pearson myers, d. g. (2008). social psychology. new delhi, india: tata mcgraw-hill. husain, a. (2012). social psychology. new delhi, india: pearson are directly manifested in contemporary policy interventions and grassroots mobilizations. Historical social reforms—notably the Kerala Renaissance (*Navodhanam*) movements, tenancy land reforms, and the devolution of planning authority to Panchayati Raj institutions—demonstrate how organized collective action can dismantle entrenched institutional privileges.

However, modern society faces complex second-generation challenges, including demographic aging, youth employment precarity, environmental vulnerabilities, and the integration of diverse social communities. Addressing these challenges requires nuanced sociological literacy, evidence-based policy design, and an unwavering commitment to social justice, constitutional equity, and inclusive human development.

3. Classical & Modern Sociological Epistemologies: Structural Dynamics of PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION

The systematic academic investigation of **PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION** within the discipline of sociology requires an interrogation of foundational theoretical paradigms. Classical sociological thought—anchored in the foundational works of Auguste Comte, Karl Marx, Emile Durkheim, and Max Weber—established divergent epistemological trajectories for examining social reality. In analyzing prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice, structural-functionalist approaches emphasize the systemic equilibrium, functional prerequisites, and integration mechanisms that preserve social continuity across generations.

Conversely, critical and dialectical traditions analyze how prejudice: nature and definition of prejudice serves as an arena of structural contestation, ideological reproduction, and differential access to material resources. Under historical materialism, institutional configurations cannot be analyzed in isolation from the prevailing relations of production and class antagonisms. Applying this critical perspective illuminates how historical transformations reshape normative expectations, occupational hierarchies, and the distribution of social status.

Sociological Paradigm	Core Analytical Lens	Primary Theoretical Focus	Application to PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING
Structural Functionalism	Equilibrium & Functional Adaptation	Normative consensus, role differentiation, integration	Interprets systemic continuity and institutional stability.
Conflict & Critical Theory	Structural Inequality & Hegemony	Power asymmetries, resource monopolies, ideological dominance	Unmasks exploitation, marginalisation, and institutional control.
Symbolic Interactionism	Intersubjective Meaning & Agency	Micro-level interactions, definition of the situation, symbols	Examines how actors negotiate roles and construct identity.
Post-Structural / Subaltern	Discourse, Power & Marginality	Deconstruction of hegemony, localized resistances, standpoint	Highlights silenced narratives and localized social movements.

Furthermore, interpretative sociology, pioneered by Max Weber's concept of *Verstehen* (empathic understanding) and later elaborated by symbolic interactionists like George Herbert Mead and Erving Goffman, highlights the centrality of subjective meaning in social action. Applying this interpretive framework to origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice demonstrates that social actors are neither passive cultural automatons nor wholly detached rational decision-makers; rather, they continually negotiate, construct, and modify institutional realities through everyday social interactions and communicative rituals.

4. Institutional Mechanics, Empirical Fieldwork & Indian Sociological Realities

Within the context of contemporary Indian society, the analysis of **PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION** takes on profound empirical complexity. Indian sociological scholarship—pioneered by thinkers such as G.S. Ghurye, M.N. Srinivas, Irawati Karve, A.R. Desai, and B.R. Ambedkar—demonstrates that Western theoretical models must be critically re-examined when confronted with the unique intersection of caste, class, gender, community, and region in South Asia.

When interrogating **Origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice**, researchers encounter the dual realities of continuity and change. While constitutional democracy, urbanization, industrial development, and legal reforms have modernized public institutions, primordial structures like caste hierarchies, endogamous marriage practices, and patriarchal kinship networks persist in redefined forms. M.N. Srinivas's seminal concepts of *Sanskritization* and the *Dominant Caste*, alongside A.R. Desai's political-economic critiques of agrarian capitalism, provide indispensable frameworks for understanding these contemporary contradictions.

In empirical sociological research, methodological rigor demands a careful synthesis of quantitative surveys and qualitative ethnography. Whether assessing social stratification, educational attainment, occupational mobility, or community welfare interventions, scholars must account for structural exclusions. As Dr. B.R. Ambedkar emphatically demonstrated, the caste system is not merely a division of labour, but a hierarchical division of labourers based on graded inequality. In the context of stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping, understanding these deep-seated structural barriers is essential for designing effective social welfare policies and affirmative action programs.

5. Kerala Experience: Public Action, Social Transformation & Democratic Decentralisation

A foundational requirement of the Calicut University CUFYUGP 2024 curriculum is the contextualisation of sociological principles within the historical and contemporary realities of Keralam. The "Kerala Model of Development"—characterized by high human development indicators (exceptional literacy rates, high life expectancy, and low infant mortality rates) despite moderate per capita economic growth—serves as a world-renowned case study in sociology.

The social genesis of contemporary Kerala is directly rooted in the *Navodhanam* (Renaissance) movements of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Visionary social reformers—including Sree Narayana Guru, Ayyankali, Chattampi Swamikal, Poykayil Yohannan (Kumara Gurudevan), and V.T. Bhattathiripad—mobilized subaltern communities to challenge untouchability, temple entry restrictions, dress codes, and educational exclusions. Their historic struggles transformed caste-based feudal servitude into a vibrant culture of public action, civic participation, and democratic consciousness.

Historical / Institutional Catalyst	Primary Sociological Dimension	Key Historical Milestones	Structural Impact on Kerala Society
Socio-Religious Reform (Navodhanam)	Subaltern Mobilization & Caste Reform	Aruvippuram Consecration (1888), Villuvandi Samaram (1893)	Dismantled ritual pollution and opened public schools to Dalits.
Agrarian Land Reforms	Tenancy Abolition & Land Redistribution	Kerala Land Reforms (Amendment) Act 1969	Abolished feudal landlordism (Janmi system) and conferred hutment rights.
Democratic Decentralisation	Grassroots Governance & Planning	People's Plan Campaign (1996), Panchayati Raj	Devolved 35–40% of state developmental funds to local self-governments.
Kudumbashree Mission	Women's Empowerment & Social Capital	State Poverty Eradication Mission (1998)	Mobilized millions of women into neighborhood self-help networks.

In contemporary sociological discourse, the Kerala experience also presents emerging second-generation challenges directly relevant to PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION. These include an accelerating demographic transition characterized by population aging, escalating healthcare and geriatric care demands, persistent educated unemployment, youth out-migration, ecological fragility, and the complex integration of interstate migrant workers within the domestic informal economy.

6. Methodological Innovations, Fieldwork Ethics & Epistemological Synthesis

Methodological integrity lies at the heart of empirical sociology. When investigating **PREJUDICE, STEREOTYPING AND DISCRIMINATION**, researchers must navigate the intricate tensions between quantitative positivistic measurement and qualitative phenomenological interpretation. Social phenomena cannot be fully captured through detached statistical quantification alone; researchers must engage directly with the lived experiences, cultural narratives, and subjective worldviews of social actors.

In conducting sociological fieldwork on origin and formation of prejudice, techniques of reducing prejudice and stereotyping: factors contribute to stereotyping, ethical considerations are paramount. Modern fieldworkers must maintain reflexivity—acknowledging their own social positionality, cultural biases, and power relationships relative to research participants. The ethical mandate of informed consent, confidentiality, anonymization of sensitive biographical data, and the protection of vulnerable communities (such as tribal populations, Dalit households, and elderly dependents) forms the bedrock of credible sociological inquiry.

By synthesizing classical theoretical traditions, contemporary critical perspectives, empirical survey techniques, and localized fieldwork observations, undergraduate students develop a mature sociological imagination. This intellectual capacity empowers graduates to decipher the latent structural forces governing modern social formations, critically evaluate public policies, and contribute constructively to progressive, inclusive social development.

DegreeLive